Decision 00-12-037 December 21, 2000

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Into Distributed Generation.

Rulemaking 99-10-025 (Filed October 21, 1999)

DECISION ADOPTING INTERCONNECTION STANDARDS

1. Summary

This decision approves the Rule 21 language adopted by the California Energy Commission (Energy Commission) on October 25, 2000 in its entirety, as conformed with Decision (D.) 00-11-001. A Model Tariff is set forth in Attachment A that incorporates changes made in D.00-11-001 into the Energy Commission recommendation. A model Interconnection Application Form and agreement are set forth in Attachments B and C.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), and Southern California Edison Company (SCE) are directed to file compliance advice letters to replace their existing Rule 21 with the Model Tariff, Interconnection Application Form and Agreement, within 15 days of the effective date of this order. Within 40 days of the effective date of this order, other respondent utilities (Sierra Pacific Power Company (Sierra), Pacificorp, Mountain Utilities, and Bear Valley Electric) are directed to either file a compliance advice letter adopting the Model Tariff, Interconnection Application Form and Agreement, or a compliance filing in this docket demonstrating compelling reasons why the adopted rules, forms, and agreements should not apply to them.

83563 - 1 -

2. Procedural Background

In response to this rulemaking, the Energy Commission initiated a workshop process to explore revisions to the current interconnection rules. The goal was to simplify and standardize utility interconnection protocols and to develop proposed tariff rule language that could apply to all distributed generation facilities seeking to interconnect with the utilities.

In D.00-11-001, we adopted the first set of recommendations from the Energy Commission with slight modifications. In that decision we identified several issues on which the Energy Commission was conducting supplemental work. The Siting Committee held several additional working group meetings to develop supplemental rule language since its first set of recommendations were submitted on June 27, 2000. In some cases, language developed through additional meetings is designed to replace aspects of the proposed rule language we adopted in D.00-11-001; in other cases it proposes incremental language. The Energy Commission adopted the Supplemental Recommendation on October 25, 2000.

The Supplemental Recommendation addresses: standard applications and interconnection agreements; type testing and pre-certification; fees for application, initial review, and supplemental review; further refinement of the Initial and Supplemental Review process; uniqueness of utility tariffs; and development of a distributed generation interconnection database. In its first set of recommendations, the Energy Commission identified other miscellaneous issues that required follow up and discussed establishment of a forum for additional work on interconnection issues. Both of these topics are addressed in the Supplemental Recommendation.

3. Discussion

Rule 21 is part of each investor-owned utility's tariff. As adopted in D.00-11-001, Rule 21 contains eight sections and one appendix. Section 1 governs applicability, followed by the general rules and obligations of both the distributed generation customer and the utility (Section 2). The key elements of the Rule are contained in Sections 3 and 4, which describe the non-technical and technical considerations for completing an interconnection agreement. Specific technical details on the screening procedures are detailed in the Appendix. Ownership and operational considerations, as well as procedures for settling disputes, are addressed in Sections 5-7. The rule ends with a common set of definitions to ensure consistency in the rule language. The appendix lays out a schematic and procedures for determining whether an application is eligible for simplified interconnection.

The Supplemental Recommendation builds on the current Rule 21. A new appendix dealing with type testing and pre-certification has been developed, as well as an interconnection application and agreement. In addition, modifications have been made to the language adopted in D.00-11-001 as a result of further work by the parties. We commend the Energy Commission and all of the parties who participated in the process for their efforts to develop and refine these rules. The Supplemental Recommendation summarized the major areas of controversy and proposed how to resolve each issue.

3.1 Standard Interconnection Application and Agreement

3.1.1 Interconnection Application

Development of a comprehensive and user-friendly application form was a major goal of the Energy Commission and all parties involved in this process. An application must supply sufficient information to allow the utility to accurately evaluate the interconnection requirements for the facility but not be burdensome so as to serve as a barrier to entry. The application has been designed to ensure that the applicant for interconnection understands what information is required for the application to be processed without the utility having to request additional information. Various parties made suggested changes to the application form throughout the process and the Energy Commission has made modifications in response to those suggestions. The Energy Commission points out that it is concerned "whether the level of detail in (the) application form will create a barrier to market entry... On the other hand, we recognize that much of the information is required in order for the Electrical Corporation to promptly evaluate an application." (Supplemental Recommendation, p. 22.) The Energy Commission recommends that the application form be adopted, but that it be monitored through a post-implementation working group to determine if additional changes are required. We concur with this recommendation.

3.1.2 Interconnection Agreement

While not part of Rule 21, approval of an Interconnection Agreement is a critical component to the success of Rule 21. During the first half of this year, parties began developing a proposed Interconnection Agreement for Energy Commission consideration. The primary areas of controversy are how the agreement should handle indemnity, liability, and insurance coverage.

Parties identified three options for indemnification: mutual, unilateral, and no indemnity. As described by the Energy Commission:

Under an agreement with mutual indemnity language, each party is held harmless from any damages, losses, and liabilities resulting from the other party's performance under the contract, except in the case of gross negligence or intentional

misconduct. Unilateral indemnity extends the same protections from one party to the actions of the second party, but does not apply in reverse. A third alternative, no indemnity, eliminates the need for an indemnity clause in the agreement. (Supplemental Recommendation, p. 15.)

The utilities supported unilateral indemnification, arguing that distributed generation does not benefit ratepayers, and therefore, the utility and its ratepayers should be indemnified from installation of distributed generation. Manufacturers supported mutual indemnity, arguing that distributed generators provide substantial benefits to the utility and its ratepayers, and both parties should have equal rights and representation under the law. All parties agreed that if their respective positions are not adopted, no indemnity clause should be included in the agreement and it should be replaced with a limitation of liability clause. The Energy Commission recommends, and we concur, that we should adopt a limitation of liability clause in lieu of an indemnity provision such that:

Each Party's liability to the other Party for any loss, cost, claim, injury, liability, or expense, including reasonable attorney's fees, relating to or arising from any act or omission in its performance of this agreement, shall be limited to the amount of direct damage actually incurred. In no event shall either Party be liable to the other Party for any indirect, special, consequential, or punitive damages of any kind whatsoever. (Supplemental Recommendation, p. 18.)

In comments on the draft decision, SCE argues that the phrase "including reasonable attorney's fees" is inconsistent with its goal that liability be limited only to direct damages, which SCE argues does not include attorney fees. We support the Energy Commission's recommendation on this issue as a balanced resolution of conflicting positions. This is an issue that is appropriate to revisit in the Rule 21 review group after additional experience is gained with the Interconnection Agreement.

Another issue was the appropriate minimum level of liability insurance required of the party seeking interconnection. This Commission last addressed proper insurance levels in D.83-10-093, which established insurance requirements for Qualifying Facilities (QFs) seeking to interconnect. The parties agreed, and the Energy Commission recommends, that the minimum liability insurance carried by facilities larger than 100 kilowatts (kW) should be increased from \$1,000,000 to \$2,000,000. For facilities greater than 20 kW but less than or equal to 100 kW, the parties agreed that the minimum liability insurance carried should be increased from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000. For facilities 20 kW or less, the insurance requirements differ depending on whether the customer takes residential service, or belongs to another customer class. By increasing the minimum liability insurance that must be carried, we do not intend to create a barrier to entry. Rather, we feel that these increases are reasonable based on reasonable assumptions regarding inflation since 1983 and in comparison to levels of insurance carried by homeowners, as reported by the Energy Commission in its Supplemental Recommendation.

We approve the Interconnection Agreement as recommended by the Energy Commission without change, except as described in Section 3.6 below. The proposed agreement is limited in its applicability and does not apply to QFs or parties seeking to export loads. Our ultimate goal is to see the parties, under Energy Commission guidance, develop a family of standardized agreements that would accommodate export and non-export arrangements. We agree that other agreements should be developed during the post-implementation phase of this proceeding as further discussed below.

3.2 Testing and Certification Procedures

In its initial recommendation, the Energy Commission endorsed the development of an initial review process to create a path for quickly considering applications not requiring an Interconnection Study. The third screen in the initial review process assesses whether specific interconnection equipment is deemed certified for use without further testing. Certification and testing work was the subject of considerable effort during the past few months, resulting in the Testing and Certification appendices to Rule 21. The recommended procedures rely heavily on those developed by Underwriter's Laboratories (UL), the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), and the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). The Energy Commission closely relied on the technical expertise of the parties in its support of the proposed certification language. We fully endorse the certification language recommended in Appendix B of the Supplemental Recommendation.

3.3 Refinement of Initial Review Process

Completion of the testing and certification procedures required caused the parties to evaluate whether any of the screens in the initial review process should be revised. The Energy Commission recommends two notable changes. First, the Energy Commission recommends removal of the Net Metering screen. The parties agree that the screen is unnecessary, since each utility already has an established procedure for processing net-metered systems. This action has no impact on the technical evaluation of a DG Application. Second, a new technical screen would be added to address utility concerns over customer power quality. This "Starting Voltage Drop" screen addresses potential voltage fluctuation problems caused by generators that start using utility motor power. These modifications were noncontroversial and we adopt them.

3.4 Fees

The Supplemental Recommendation addressed the complex issue of the calculation of fees for the initial and supplemental review process. The parties identified the types of activities and amount of time required to conduct an initial and supplemental review and a billing rate for these activities. The parties agreed that the initial review process should be completed in eight hours, bringing the total initial cost to \$800, assuming the application is approved and processed. In the event the application is rejected, half of that amount (\$400) would be returned, based on account and customer service activities that would not be incurred by the Applicant. The Supplemental Recommendation also addressed the maximum charge for supplemental review of the interconnection application. Supplemental review is designed to allow the Electrical Corporation an opportunity to resolve issues identified in the initial review before requiring a more extensive Interconnection Study. Parties suggest that a maximum of six additional hours will provide a firm understanding whether a more extensive study would be needed before an application would be processed. Thus, the total cost of the initial and supplemental review would not exceed \$1,400.

The likelihood of passing initial or supplemental review, and thus being eligible for simplified interconnection without an additional interconnection study, is inversely related to the size of the project. Any project has the option of bypassing initial and supplemental review and moving directly to an interconnection study. In that case, the minimum fee for the interconnection study would be \$1,400.

The parties did not discuss whether these costs are already part of the utility's everyday operations or whether all of the costs are new costs. Allocation of these costs to existing and new functions was explicitly reserved for this

Commission to determine. Assuming the Commission finds that some costs are part of the utility's everyday operations, parties agree that the fees would be adjusted accordingly.

At this time, we will adopt the fees recommended by the Energy Commission as maximum fees for applicants qualifying for initial and supplemental review. Phase 2 testimony has already been completed and does not address the specifics of how to allocate these costs. We recognize that the recommended fees represent a compromise among the parties and that adjustments to the fees will likely be required at some point. After additional experience is gained with the adopted Rule 21, we will revisit whether to adjust the fees. If we adopt an adjustment to the fees in the future, such adjustment will be applied prospectively to interconnections initiated following the effective date of the fee revision. Applicants for interconnection must submit the full fees associated with initial and supplemental review consistent with Rule 21.

3.5 Uniqueness of Utility Tariffs

SDG&E and SCE support standardized Rule 21 language for all utilities. PG&E recommended that specific language be added to reference PG&E's operating and communication protocols. The Energy Commission reviewed PG&E's proposal, as well as comments submitted by SDG&E, CMTA, and Honeywell. Like the Energy Commission, we are committed to the goal of standardized rule language. PG&E did not demonstrate a compelling reason to modify standardized language at this time. PG&E's concern about future utility system alterations is addressed by Section 5.2.2 of the recommended Rule 21. Section 2.4 of the recommended Rule 21 provides uniform assurance that an Electricity Producer will comply with all utility operating practices.

While rejecting the PG&E proposals at this time, the Energy Commission believes that the working groups, in its post-implementation work, should consider whether stronger language is needed in Rule 21 to mitigate the concerns of PG&E and the other utilities. After additional debate by the working groups, we will consider the issue again if the group believes it will improve the quality of the Rule 21 language.

3.6 Interconnection Database

The purpose of establishing a DG interconnection database is to provide a readily accessible inventory of distributed generators in California. This information was first requested by the California Independent System Operator (ISO) to help in the planning and operating functions of the electrical transmission system. The ISO requested operational information on generating facilities above one megawatt (MW) and general information for units below one megawatt.

The Energy Commission has adopted data collection regulations that address these requirements in Docket 97-DC&CR-1. The Energy Commission's data collection regulations require the utilities to submit basic information about each interconnected generating facility, regardless of size. Therefore, the Energy Commission believes no additional orders are required in order to collect information for the database. In comments PG&E raises concerns that certain interconnection information is confidential customer information that cannot be released without written customer authorization pursuant to D.97-10-031. To resolve this issue, PG&E recommends inserting the following language into the Interconnection Agreement: "EP authorizes EC to release the California Energy Commission (CEC) information regarding EP's facility, including customer name, location, size, and operational characteristics of the unit, as requested from

time to time pursuant to the CEC's rules and regulations." This language is sufficient to address PG&E's concern that it receive written authorization to release such information to the CEC, and we adopt it.

3.7 Summary

The development of testing and certification procedures, a screening process that promotes simplified interconnection, and standardized interconnection applications and agreements helps us facilitate interconnection of new, small scale generating facilities. By adopting this revised Rule 21 and the Interconnection Agreement and Application as set forth in Attachments A through C, we take further steps towards fulfilling the Assembly Bill (AB) 970 (Chap. 329, Stats. 2000) goals of promoting investment in new, environmentally superior electricity generation, and relieving California's electricity supply constraints.

PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E are directed to file compliance advice letters to replace their existing Rule 21 with the Model Tariff, Interconnection Application Form and Agreement within 15 days of the effective date of this order. It is our goal that Rule 21 be adopted on a statewide basis; however, there may be compelling reasons why smaller utilities, or those with limited California operations, may not be able to comply with this standard. Therefore, within 40 days of the effective date of this order, Sierra, Pacificorp, Mountain Utilities, and Bear Valley Electric are directed to either file a compliance advice letter adopting the Model Tariff, Interconnection Application Form and Agreement or a compliance filing in this docket demonstrating compelling reasons why the adopted rule should not apply to them.

4. Forum for Post-Implementation Work

In its Supplemental Recommendation, the Energy Commission offers us a unique opportunity to ensure that Rule 21 best serves the California market in the future. The Energy Commission has offered, under the direction of the Siting Committee, to continue the working group process as a post-implementation phase. The Energy Commission would open a new proceeding to address issues and recommend changes to Rule 21 and the Interconnection Application and Agreement as parties gain more experience implementing the Rule and working with the application and agreement. The new proceeding would provide a forum for meetings of the technical and non-technical working groups. In comments, PG&E supported formation of an ongoing Rule 21 review group under the supervision of the Energy Commission. In PG&E's view, such a forum will provide an opportunity for ongoing evaluation of implementation issues under the new rule and potential revisiting of areas of concern. PG&E recommended that the Commission provide explicit endorsement of an ongoing Rule 21 tariff review group under the auspices of the Energy Commission in its final decision.

We agree that establishment of a post-implementation working group is a prudent and effective way to proceed and will work with the Energy Commission to accomplish this objective. We envision that this group will also monitor development of IEEE standards and how such standards should impact the Rule. The specifics of the Energy Commission proceeding will be developed under the direction of its Siting Committee, in consultation with this Commission.

The Energy Commission will also play an outreach role to entities not subject to Commission jurisdiction to increase the likelihood of statewide,

standardized rules. The Energy Commission will work with the California Municipal Utilities Association (CMUA) to encourage municipalities, irrigation districts, and local governments to adopt Rule 21-type standards to encourage standardized interconnection rules across the entire state. CMUA, the municipal districts, and the irrigation districts have participated throughout this proceeding as these Rules have been developed in order to facilitate statewide standards. CMUA's agreement to assist the Energy Commission will facilitate information exchange between the Energy Commission and the publicly-owned utilities in the state and help make this statewide goal a reality.

The Energy Commission is also uniquely situated to become the central point of reference for participants in the distributed generation industry.

Because of their expertise in this area, and their involvement in other programs that support distributed generation, we expect to rely on the Energy Commission to provide detailed information about distributed generation, through its website, by supporting the information needs of parties submitting and reviewing Interconnection Application requests, supplying information on permitting, and in other ways not yet defined.

5. Comments on Draft Decision

The draft decision of Administrative Law Judge Michelle Cooke in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Section (311(g)(1)) of the Public Utilities Code and Rule 77.7 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure. Comments were filed on December 11, 2000 by PG&E and SCE.¹ We have made several revisions to the order as a result of the comments.

¹ On December 7, 2000, the Docket Office accepted for filing a document prepared by Solar Development Cooperative (SDC). The document appears to be protests to several advice letters, comments on the November 20, 2000 draft decision, and comments on D.00-11-001. The only comments regarding Rule 21 focus on SDC's disagreement with

Findings of Fact

- 1. The Energy Commission's recommended rules promote application of consistent interconnection standards across utility service territories and provide clear guidance to applicants regarding the standards that must be met for simplified interconnection.
- 2. An interconnection application must supply sufficient information to allow the utility to accurately evaluate the interconnection requirements for the facility but not be burdensome so as to serve as a barrier to entry.
- 3. All parties agreed that if their respective positions regarding indemnity are not adopted, no indemnity clause should be included in the interconnection agreement and it should be replaced with a limitation of liability clause.
- 4. This Commission last addressed proper insurance levels for interconnected generators in D.83-10-093.
- 5. The parties agree that the minimum liability insurance carried should be increased but should differ based on size and customer class.
- 6. The recommended testing and certification procedures rely heavily on those developed by Underwriter's Laboratories, the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, and the International Electrotechnical Commission.
- 7. Parties agree that the total cost of initial and supplemental review would not exceed \$1,400.

the Dispute Resolution Process set forth in Section 7 of Rule 21. Section 7 was adopted in D.00-11-001. The proper time to raise the objections to Section 7 set forth in SDC's December 7, 2000 document were in comments on the draft decision leading up to D.00-11-001. Therefore, we do not address the merits of SDC's filing. The remainder of SDC's filing does not constitute comments on the draft decision and is not addressed for purposes of this decision.

- 8. The current record does not allow a conclusion about whether initial and supplemental review costs are already part of the utility's everyday operations or whether all of the costs are new costs.
- 9. Assuming the Commission finds that some of the initial and supplemental review costs are part of the utility's everyday operations, the initial and supplemental review fees should be adjusted accordingly.
- 10. PG&E did not demonstrate a compelling reason to modify standardized language for Rule 21 at this time.
- 11. The Energy Commission's data collection regulations require utilities to submit basic information about each interconnected generating facility, regardless of size.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. The interconnection application form should be adopted and monitored through a post-implementation working group to determine if additional changes are required.
- 2. We should adopt a limitation of liability clause in lieu of an indemnity provision in the interconnection agreement.
- 3. The increases in minimum liability insurance requirements are reasonable based on inflation since 1983 and in comparison to levels of insurance typically carried by homeowners.
- 4. The Interconnection Agreement as recommended by the Energy Commission should be approved with the addition of the following language: "EP authorizes to release to the California Energy Commission (CEC) information regarding EP's facility, including customer name, location, size, and operational characteristics of the unit, as requested from time to time pursuant to the CEC's rules and regulations."

- 5. The testing and certification language recommended in Appendix B of the Supplemental Recommendation should be adopted.
- 6. The initial and supplemental review fees recommended by the Energy Commission should be adopted as the maximum fees for applicants qualifying for initial and supplemental review.
- 7. If we adopt an adjustment to the fees, such adjustment should be applied prospectively to interconnection initiated following the effective date of the fee revision.
- 8. Post-implementation work should consider whether utility specific language is needed in Rule 21.
- 9. Establishment of a post-implementation working group is a prudent and effective way to provide an opportunity for ongoing evaluation of implementation issues under the new rule and potential revisiting of areas of concern.
- 10. By adopting clear standards to facilitate interconnection of new, small scale generating facilities, we take a step towards relieving California's electricity supply constraints and encouraging self-generation consistent with the directives of AB 970.
- 11. The Rule 21 language recommended by the Energy Commission on October 25, 2000 should be adopted in its entirety.
- 12. It is reasonable to adopt the Rule 21 Model Tariff for all respondent utilities because our goal is to apply the same interconnection standard on a statewide basis. There may be compelling reasons why smaller utilities or those utilities with limited California operations may not be able to comply with this standard. Therefore, it is reasonable to allow Sierra, Pacificorp, Mountain Utilities, and Bear Valley Electric to either file a compliance advice letter

adopting the model tariff or a compliance filing in this docket showing compelling reasons why the adopted rule should not apply to them.

ORDER

Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

- 1. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Edison Company shall file, within 15 days of the effective date of this order, compliance advice letters to revise Rule 21 of their tariffs consistent with Attachments A and C. Attachment B shall be revised consistent with Conclusion of Law 4. The advice letters shall be effective upon filing, subject to Energy Division determining that they are in compliance with this Order.
- 2. Within 40 days of the effective date of this order, Sierra Pacific Power Company, Pacificorp, Mountain Utilities, and Bear Valley Electric shall either file a compliance advice letter adopting the Model Tariff, Interconnection Application Form and Agreement, or a compliance filing in this docket demonstrating compelling reasons why the adopted rule should not apply to them. If filed, advice letters shall be effective upon filing, subject to Energy Division determining that they are in compliance with this Order.

This order is effective today.

Dated December 21, 2000, at San Francisco, California.

LORETTA M. LYNCH
President
HENRY M. DUQUE
JOSIAH L. NEEPER
RICHARD A. BILAS
CARL W. WOOD
Commissioners

ATTACHMENT A RULE 21 MODEL TARIFF LANGUAGE

ATTACHMENT A Rule 21 Model Tariff Language

1. APPLICABILITY AND INTRODUCTION

- **1.1 Applicability.** This Rule describes the interconnection, operating and metering requirements for Generating Facilities that are intended to be connected to the Distribution System over which the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has jurisdiction. Subject to the requirements of this Rule, Electrical Corporation will allow the interconnection of Generating Facilities with its Distribution System.
- **1.2 Definitions.** Capitalized terms used in this Rule, and not otherwise defined, shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in Section 8.
- **1.3 Enabling Documents.** It is contemplated that the Applicant will be required to execute various enabling documents, such as the Application and Interconnection Agreement. Such documents shall be in the form on file with the CPUC, as may be amended from time to time.

2. GENERAL RULES, RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

- 2.1 Authorization Required to Interconnect. An Electricity Producer must comply with this Rule, form an Interconnection Agreement with Electrical Corporation, and receive Electrical Corporation's express written permission to interconnect before connecting or operating a Generating Facility in parallel with the Electrical Corporation's Distribution System. Electrical Corporation shall apply this Rule in a non-discriminatory manner and shall not unreasonably withhold its permission to interconnect an Electric Producer's Generating Facility.
- 2.2 Separate Arrangements Required for Other Services. An Electricity Producer requiring other electric services from the Electrical Corporation including, but not limited to, Distribution Service provided by the Electrical Corporation during periods of curtailment or interruption of a Generating Facility, must enter into separate arrangements with Electrical Corporation for such services in accordance with CPUC-approved tariffs.
- **2.3 Transmission Service Not Provided with Interconnection.** Interconnection with the Electrical Corporation's Distribution System under this Rule does not provide an Electricity Producer any rights to utilize Electrical Corporation's Distribution System for the transmission or distribution of electric power, nor does it limit those rights.
- 2.4 Compliance with Laws, Rules, and Tariffs. An Electricity Producer shall ascertain and comply with applicable CPUC-approved rules, tariffs, and regulations of the Electrical Corporation; applicable FERC-approved rules, tariffs, and regulations; and any local, state or federal law, statute or regulation which applies to the design, siting, construction, installation, operation, or any other aspect of the Electricity Producer's Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities.
- 2.5 **Design Reviews and Inspections.** Electrical Corporation shall have the right to review the design of an Electricity Producer's Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities and to inspect an Electricity Producer's Generating and/or Interconnection Facilities prior to the commencement of Parallel Operation with Electrical Corporation's Distribution System. Electrical Corporation may require an Electricity Producer to make modifications as necessary to comply with the requirements of this Rule. Electrical Corporation's review and authorization for Parallel Operation shall not be construed as confirming or endorsing the Electricity Producer's design or as warranting the Generating and/or Interconnection Facility's

- safety, durability or reliability. Electrical Corporation shall not, by reason of such review or lack of review, be responsible for the strength, adequacy, or capacity of such equipment.
- 2.6 Right to Access. An Electricity Producer's Generating Facilities and Interconnection Facilities shall be reasonably accessible to Electrical Corporation personnel as necessary for Electrical Corporation to perform its duties and exercise its rights under its tariffs and rules filed with and approved by the CPUC, and any agreement between Electrical Corporation and the Electricity Producer.
- 2.7 Confidentiality of Information. Any information pertaining to Generation and/or Interconnection Facilities provided to Electrical Corporation by an Electricity Producer shall be treated by Electrical Corporation in a confidential manner. Electrical Corporation shall not use information contained in the Application to propose discounted tariffs to the customer unless authorized to do so by the customer or the information is provided to Electrical Corporation by the customer through other means.
- 2.8 Prudent Operation and Maintenance Required. An Electricity Producer shall operate and maintain its Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities in accordance with Prudent Electrical Practices and shall maintain compliance with CPUC adopted standards for the Electricity Producer's particular Generation and Interconnection Facilities. Said standards shall be those in effect at the time an Electricity Producer executes an Interconnection Agreement with Electrical Corporation.
- 2.9 Curtailment and Disconnection. Electrical Corporation may limit the operation and/or disconnect or require the disconnection of an Electricity Producer's Generating Facility from Electrical Corporation's Distribution System at any time, with or without notice, in the event of an Emergency, or to correct Unsafe Operating Conditions. Electrical Corporation may also limit the operation and/or disconnect or require the disconnection of an Electricity Producer's Generating Facility from Electrical Corporation's Distribution System upon the provision of reasonable notice: 1) to allow for routine maintenance, repairs or modifications to Electrical Corporation's Distribution System; 2) upon Electrical Corporation's determination that an Electricity Producer's Generating Facility is not in compliance with this Rule; or, 3) upon termination of the Interconnection Agreement.

3. APPLICATION AND INTERCONNECTION PROCESS

3.1 Application Process

- **3.1.1 Applicant Initiates Contact with the Electrical Corporation.** Upon request, the Electrical Corporation will provide information and documents (such as an application form, contract and technical requirements, specifications, listing of Certified Equipment, application fee information, applicable rate schedules and metering requirements) in response to the potential Applicant's inquiry. Unless otherwise agreed upon, all such information and a copy of the Electrical Corporation's standardized interconnection requirements shall normally be sent to the Applicant within three (3) business days following the initial request from the Applicant. The Electrical Corporation will establish an individual representative as the single point of contact for the Applicant, but may allocate responsibilities among its staff to best coordinate the Interconnection of a Applicant's Generating Facility.
- **3.1.2 Applicant Completes an Application Document.** All Applicants shall be required to complete and file an Application document and supply any additional information requested by the Electrical Corporation. The filing must include the completed standardized Application, which may be either in paper or electronic form, and a fee for processing the application and performing the Initial Review to be completed by the Electrical Corporation pursuant to

Section 3.1.3. The application fee shall vary with the nature of the proposed Generating Facility as follows:

Type of Generating Facility	Initial Review Supplemental Review		
Net Energy Metering (per Public Utilities Code Section 2827)	None	None	
All others	\$800	\$600	

Note: Allocation of cost between DG Applicant and Electrical Corporation to be determined by CPUC in Phase 2 of R.99-10-025. The total cost borne by the Applicant should be reduced by the cost allocated to the utility's distribution function.

Fifty percent of the fees associated with the Initial Review will be returned to the Applicant if the application is rejected by the utility or the Applicant retracts the application.

The Applicant may propose and the Electrical Corporation may negotiate specific costs for processing non-standard installations such as multi-units, multi-sites, or otherwise as conditions warrant. The costs for the Initial Review and the Supplemental Review contained in this Section, as well as the language provided in Sections 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 do not apply under these circumstances.

Within ten (10) business days of receiving an Application, the Electrical Corporation shall normally acknowledge its receipt and whether the Application has been completed adequately. If defects are noted, the Electrical Corporation and Applicant shall cooperate in a timely manner to establish a satisfactory Application.

3.1.3 Electrical Corporation Performs an Initial Review and Develops Preliminary Cost Estimates and Interconnection Requirements.

- **3.1.3.1** Upon receipt of a satisfactorily completed Application and any additional information necessary to evaluate the Interconnection of a Generating Facility, the Electrical Corporation shall perform an Initial Review using the process defined in Appendix A. The Initial Review determines if the Application qualifies for Simplified Interconnection, if the Application can qualify for Interconnection subject to additional requirements, or if it will be necessary for Electrical Corporation to perform an Interconnection Study to determine Interconnection Requirements.
- **3.1.3.2** The Electrical Corporation shall complete its Initial Review, absent any extraordinary circumstances, within 10 business days if the Application qualifies for Simplified Interconnection. If the Initial Review determines that the proposed facility can be interconnected by means of a Simplified Interconnection, the Electrical Corporation will provide the Applicant with a written description of the requirements for interconnection and a draft Interconnection Agreement pursuant to Section 3.1.5.
- **3.1.3.3** If the Application does not qualify for Simplified Interconnection as submitted, the Initial Review will include a Supplemental Review as described in Appendix A. The Supplemental Review provides either (a) Interconnection Requirements that may include requirements beyond those for Simple Interconnection, and a draft Interconnection Agreement, or (b) a cost estimate and schedule for an Interconnection Study. The supplemental review shall be completed, absent any extraordinary circumstances, within 20 business days of receipt of a completed Application. Payment for the Supplemental Review shall be submitted to the Electrical

Corporation within 10 calendar days after the results of the Supplemental Review are provided to the Applicant.

- 3.1.4. When Required, Applicant and Electrical Corporation Commit to Additional Interconnection Study Steps. When an Initial Review reveals that the proposed facility cannot be interconnected to the Electrical Corporation's system by means of a Simplified Interconnection pursuant to Section 4 and Appendix B, and that significant Electrical Corporation Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System Improvements must be installed or made to the Electrical Corporation's electric system to accommodate the interconnection of an Applicant's Generating Facility, the Electrical Corporation and Applicant shall enter into an agreement that provides for the Electrical Corporation to perform such additional studies, facility design, and engineering and to provide detailed cost estimates for fixed price or actual cost billing, to the Applicant at the Applicant's expense. The Interconnection Study Agreement shall set forth the Electrical Corporation's schedule for completing such work and the estimated or fixed price costs of such studies and engineering. Upon completion of an Interconnection Study, the Electrical Corporation shall provide the Applicant with the specific requirements, costs and schedule for interconnecting the Generating Facility to accommodate execution of agreements pursuant to Section 3.1.5.
- 3.1.5 Applicant and Electrical Corporation Enter Into a Generation Interconnection Agreement and, Where Required, a Financing and Ownership Agreement for Interconnection Facilities or Electric System Modifications. The Electrical Corporation shall provide the Applicant with an executable version of the Interconnection Agreement, Net Energy Metering Agreement, or Power Purchase Agreement appropriate for the Applicant's Generating Facility and desired mode of operation. Where the Initial Review or Interconnection Study performed by the Electrical Corporation has determined that modifications or additions are required to be made to its Electric System, or that additional metering, monitoring, or protection devices will be necessary to accommodate a Applicant's Generating Facility, the Electrical Corporation shall also provide the Applicant with an Interconnection Facilities Financing and Ownership Agreement (IFFOA). The IFFOA shall set forth the respective parties' responsibilities, completion schedules, and estimated or fixed price costs for the required work.
- 3.1.6 Electricity Producer Installs or Constructs the Generating Facility; Where Applicable, Electrical Corporation or Electricity Producer Installs Required Interconnection Facilities or Modifies Electrical Corporation's Electric System. After executing the appropriate Generation Interconnection or Power Purchase Agreement, and where required, the IFFOA, the Electricity Producer may install or construct its Generating Facility in accordance with the provisions of this rule and the terms of the specific agreements formed between the Electricity Producer and the Electrical Corporation. Where appropriate, the Electrical Corporation will commence construction/installation of the system modifications and/or metering and monitoring requirements identified in the IFFOA. The parties will use good faith efforts to meet the schedules and fixed costs or estimated costs in the IFFOA.
- **3.1.7** Electricity Producer Arranges for and Completes Testing of Generating Facility and, Where Applicable, Electricity Producer Installed Interconnection Facilities. New Generating Facilities and associated Interconnection Facilities must be tested to ensure compliance with the safety and reliability provisions of the CPUC-approved rules and regulations prior to being operated in parallel with the Electrical Corporation's electric system. Certified Equipment will be subject to the tests specified in Section 4. For non-Certified Equipment, the Electricity Producer will develop a written testing plan to be submitted to the Electrical Corporation for its review and acceptance. Alternatively, the Electricity Producer and Electrical Corporation may agree to have the Electrical Corporation conduct the required testing at the Electricity Producer's expense. Where applicable, the test plan shall include the installation test

procedure(s) published by the manufacturer(s) of the generation or interconnection equipment. Facility testing shall be conducted at a mutually agreeable time, and depending on who conducts the tests, the Electrical Corporation or Electricity Producer shall be given the opportunity to witness the tests.

- **3.1.8 Electrical Corporation Authorizes Interconnection.** The Electricity Producer's Generating Facility shall be allowed to commence parallel operation with the Electrical Corporation's electric system upon satisfactory compliance with the terms of the Generation Interconnection Agreement, Power Purchase Agreement or Net Energy Metering Agreement. Compliance may include, but not be limited to, provision of any required documentation and satisfactorily completing any required inspections or tests as described herein or in the agreements formed between the Electricity Producer and the Electrical Corporation. An Electricity Producer shall not interconnect a Generating Facility unless it has received the Electrical Corporation's express written permission to do so.
- **3.1.9 Electrical Corporation Reconciles Costs and Payments.** If the Electricity Producer selected a fixed price cost for the Interconnection Facilities or Electric System Modifications, no reconciliation will be necessary. If the Electricity Producer selected actual cost billing, a true-up will be required. Within a reasonable time after the interconnection of a Electricity Producer's Generating Facility, the Electrical Corporation will reconcile its actual costs related to the Electricity Producer's facility against the application fee and any other advance payments made by the Electricity Producer. The Electricity Producer will receive either a bill for any balance due or a reimbursement for overpayment as determined by the Electrical Corporation's reconciliation. The Electricity Producer shall be entitled to a reasonably detailed and understandable report detailing the Electrical Corporation's reconciliation process.

4. GENERATING FACILITY DESIGN AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS

4.1 General Interconnection and protection requirements

- **4.1.1** Protective Functions shall be equipped with automatic means to prevent the Generating Facility from re-energizing a de-energized Distribution System circuit.
- **4.1.2** The Protective Functions of a Generating Facility must include an over/under voltage trip function, an over/under frequency trip function, and a means for disconnecting the DG from the EC when a protective function initiates a trip.
- **4.1.3** The Generating Facility and associated Protective Functions shall not contribute to the formation of an Unintended Island.
- **4.1.4** The Electricity Producer's protection and control diagrams for the interconnection shall be approved by the Electrical Corporation prior to completion of the Generating Facility Interconnection, unless the Electricity Producer uses a protection and control scheme previously approved by the Electrical Corporation for system-wide application or uses only Certified Equipment.
- **4.1.5** Protective Functions shall be equipped with automatic means to prevent reconnection of the Generating Facility with the Distribution System unless the Distribution System service voltage and frequency is of specified settings and is stable for 60 seconds.
- **4.1.6** Certified Equipment contains certified functions that are accepted by all California Electrical Corporations. This equipment may be installed on a Distribution System in accordance with an Interconnection control and protection scheme approved by the Electrical Corporation.

- **4.1.7** These requirements are designed to protect the Electrical Corporation's Distribution System and not the Generating Facility. An Electricity Producer shall be solely responsible for providing adequate protection for the Electricity Producer's Generating Facility and Interconnection Facilities connected to the Electrical Corporation's Distribution System. The Electricity Producer's protective equipment shall not impact the operation of other protective devices utilized on the Distribution System in a manner that would affect the Electrical Corporation's capability of providing reliable service to Customers.
- **4.1.8** Circuit breakers or other interrupting devices at the Point of Common Coupling must be Certified or "Listed" (as defined in Article 100, the Definitions Section of the National Electrical Code) as suitable for the application. This includes being capable of interrupting maximum available fault current. The Generating Facility shall be designed so that the failure of any one device shall not potentially compromise the safety and reliability of the Distribution System.
- **4.1.9** The Electricity Producer will furnish and install a manual disconnect device that has a visual break to isolate the Generating Facility from the Distribution System. The device must be accessible to Electrical Corporation personnel and be capable of being locked in the open position. Generating Facilities with non-islanding inverters totaling 1kVA or less are exempt from this provision.
- **4.1.10** This section is not intended to address the requirements for generators that parallel momentarily or generators that operate independently of the Electrical Corporation.
- **4.2 Prevention of interference.** The Electricity Producer shall not operate equipment that superimposes upon the Distribution System a voltage or current that interferes with Electrical Corporation operations, service to Electrical Corporation customers, or Electrical Corporation communication facilities. If such interference occurs, the Electricity Producer must diligently pursue and take corrective action at its own expense after being given notice and reasonable time to do so by the Electrical Corporation. If the Electricity Producer does not take timely corrective action, or continues to operate the equipment causing interference without restriction or limit, the Electrical Corporation may, without liability, disconnect the Electricity Producer's equipment from the Distribution System, in accordance with Section 2.9 of this rule.

To eliminate undesirable interference caused by operation of the Generating Facility, each Distributed Generator in a Generating Facility shall meet the following criteria:

- **4.2.1 Normal voltage operating range.** The voltage operating range for Distributed Generators shall be selected as a protection function that responds to abnormal Distribution System conditions and not as a voltage regulation function.
 - **4.2.1.1 Small systems (11 kVA or less).** Distributed Generator systems of 11 kVA capacity or less shall be capable of operating within the limits normally experienced on the Distribution System. The operating window shall be selected in a manner that minimizes nuisance tripping and range between 106 volts and 132 volts (88-110% of nominal voltage) on a 120-volt base. Generating Facilities shall cease to energize the Electrical Corporation lines whenever the voltage at the PCC deviates from the allowable voltage operating range.
 - **4.2.1.2 Systems larger than 11 kVA.** Electrical Corporations may have specific operating voltage ranges for larger Distributed Generator units, and may require adjustable operating voltage settings for these larger systems. In the absence of such requirements, the above principles of operating between 88% and 110% of the appropriate interconnection voltage should be followed.
 - **4.2.1.3 Voltage Disturbances.** System voltage assumes a nominal 120 V base. For the convenience of those wishing to translate these guidelines to voltage bases other than 120 volts, the limits will also be provided as approximate percentages. The

Distributed Generator should sense abnormal voltage and respond. The following conditions should be met, with voltages in RMS at the Point of Common Coupling:

Voltage at Point of Common Coupling	Maximum Trip Time (Assuming 60 Cycles per Second)	
Less than 60 Volts	10 Cycles	
Greater than 60 volts but less than 106 volts	120 Cycles	
Greater than 106 volts but less than 132 volts	Normal Operation	
Greater than 132 volts but less than 165 volts	120 Cycles (30 cycles for facilities greater than 11kVA)	
Greater than 165 volts	6 Cycles	

*"Trip time" refers to the time between the abnormal condition being applied and the Distributed Generator unit ceasing to energize the Distribution System. Certain circuits will actually remain connected to the Distribution System to allow sensing of electrical conditions for use by the "reconnect" feature. The purpose of the allowed time delay is to ride through short-term disturbances to avoid excessive nuisance tripping. For systems of 11 kVA peak capacity or less, the above set points are to be non-user adjustable. For Distributed Generator units larger than 11 kVA, different voltage set points and trip times from those in Table 4.1 may be negotiated with the interconnecting Electrical Corporation.

- **4.2.2 Flicker.** Any voltage flicker at the Point of Common Coupling caused by the Generating Facility should not exceed the limits defined by the "Maximum Borderline of Irritation Curve" identified in IEEE 519 (*IEEE Recommended Practices and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems*, IEEE STD 519-1992, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, Piscataway, NJ. April 1992. This requirement is necessary to minimize the adverse voltage effects to other customers on the Distribution System. Induction generators may be connected and brought up to synchronous speed (as an induction motor) provided these flicker limits are not exceeded.
- **4.2.3 Frequency.** The Electrical Corporation controls system frequency, and the Distributed Generator unit shall operate in synchronism with the Distribution System. Small Distributed Generators should have a fixed operating frequency range of 59.3-60.5 Hertz. The DG must cease to energize the system in a maximum of ten cycles should the EC remain outside of the frequency limits. The purpose of the time delay is to allow the DG to ride through short-term disturbances to avoid excessive nuisance tripping. Electrical Corporations may require adjustable operating frequency settings for systems larger than 11 kVA to assist the system during serious capacity shortages.
- **4.2.4 Harmonics.** Harmonic distortion shall be in compliance with IEEE 519. Exception: The harmonic distortion of a Distributed Generator at a Customer's site shall be evaluated using the same criteria as the loads at that site.
- **4.2.5 Direct Current Injection.** The Distributed Generator should not inject Direct Current greater than 0.5% of rated output current into the Distribution System under either normal or abnormal operating conditions.
- **4.2.6 Power Factor.** Each Distributed Generator in a Generating Facility shall be capable of operating at some point within a range of a power factor of 0.9 (either leading or lagging).

Operation outside this range is acceptable provided the reactive power of the Generating Facility is used to meet the reactive power needs of on-site loads or that reactive power is otherwise provided under tariff by the Electrical Corporation. The Electricity Producer shall notify the Electrical Corporation if it is using the Generating Facility for power factor correction.

4.3 Control, protection and safety equipment requirements

4.3.1 Limits specific to single-phase generators. For single-phase generators connected to a shared single-phase secondary, the maximum capacity shall be 20 kVA. Distributed Generators applied on a center-tap neutral 240-volt service must be installed such that no more than 6 kVA of imbalance in capacity exists between the two sides of the 240-volt service. For dedicated distribution transformer services, the limit of a single-phase Distributed Generator shall be the transformer nameplate rating.

4.3.2 Technology Specific Requirements

4.3.2.1 Three-phase synchronous generators. The Distributed Generator circuit breakers shall be three-phase devices with electronic or electromechanical control. The Electricity Producer shall be responsible for properly synchronizing its Generating Facility with the Distribution System by means of either a manual or automatic synchronizing function. Automatic synchronizing is required for all synchronous generators, which have a Short Circuit Contribution Ratio (SCCR) exceeding 0.05. A Generating Facility whose SCCR exceeds 0.05 shall be equipped with Protective Functions suitable for detecting loss of synchronism and rapidly disconnecting the Generating Facility from the Distribution System. Unless otherwise agreed to between the Electricity Producer and the Electrical Corporation, synchronous generators shall automatically regulate power factor, not voltage, while operating in parallel with the Distribution System. Power system stabilization is specifically not required for Generating Facilities under 10 MW.

Synchronization: At the time of connection, the frequency difference shall be less than 0.2 Hz, the voltage difference shall be less than 10%, and the phase angle difference shall be less than 10 degrees.

- **4.3.2.2 Induction Generators.** Induction Generators do not require separate synchronizing equipment. Starting or rapid load fluctuations on induction generators can adversely impact the Distribution System's voltage. Corrective step-switched capacitors or other techniques may be necessary and may cause undesirable ferroresonance. When these counter measures (e.g. additional capacitors) are installed on the Electricity Producer's side of the Point of Common Coupling, the Electrical Corporation must review these measures. Additional equipment may be required to resolve this problem as a result of an Interconnection Study.
- **4.3.2.3 Inverter Systems.** Utility-interactive inverters do not require separate synchronizing equipment. Non-utility-interactive stand-alone inverters shall not be used for parallel operation with the Distribution System.

4.3.3 Initial Review process

Appendix A of this Rule defines the Initial Review process. The Initial Review process evaluates the specific characteristics of the Interconnection, including those specific to the location of the Generating Facility, and whether additional requirements are necessary.

4.3.4 Supplemental DG Requirements

- **4.3.4.1 Unintended Islanding For DG that fail the Export Screen.** Generating Facilities must mitigate their potential contribution to an Unintended Island. This can be accomplished by one of the following options:
 - (1) incorporating certified non-islanding control functions into the Protective Functions, or
 - (2) verifying that local loads sufficiently exceed the load carrying capability of the Generating Facility, or
 - (3) transfer trip or equivalent function.
- **4.3.4.2 Fault Detection.** A Generating Facility with an SCCR exceeding 0.1 or that does not meet any one of the options for detecting Unintended Islands in 4.4.4.1 shall be equipped with Protective Functions designed to detect Distribution System faults, both line-to-line and line-to-ground, and promptly remove the Generating Facility from the Distribution System in the event of a fault. For a Generating Facility that cannot detect these faults within two seconds, transfer trip or equivalent function may be required. Reclose-blocking of the Electrical Corporation's affected recloser(s) may also be required by the Electrical Corporation for generators that exceed 15% of the peak load on the Line Section.
- **4.3.5 Generating Facility types and conditions not identified.** In the event that Section 4 of this rule does not address the interconnection requirements of a Generating Facility, the Electrical Corporation and Electricity Producer may interconnect a Generating Facility using mutually agreed upon technical requirements.

5. INTERCONNECTION FACILITY OWNERSHIP AND FINANCING

5.1 Scope and Ownership of Interconnection Facilities

- **5.1.1 Scope.** The interconnection of an Electricity Producer's Generating Facility with Electrical Corporation's Distribution System is made through the use of Interconnection Facilities. Such interconnection may also require Distribution System Improvements. The nature, extent and costs of Interconnection Facilities and Distribution System Improvements shall be consistent with this Rule and determined through the Initial Review and/or Interconnection Studies described in Section 3.
- **5.1.2 Ownership.** Subject to the limitations set forth in this Rule, Interconnection Facilities which may be installed on Electricity Producer's side of the Point of Common Coupling may be owned, operated and maintained by the Electricity Producer or Electrical Corporation. Interconnection Facilities installed on Electrical Corporation's side of the Point of Common Coupling and Distribution System Improvements may be owned operated and maintained only by Electrical Corporation.

5.2 Responsibility for Costs of Interconnecting a Generating Facility

- **5.2.1 Study and Review Costs.** An Electricity Producer shall be responsible for the reasonably incurred costs of the Initial Review and any Interconnection Studies conducted pursuant to Section 3.2 of this Rule solely to explore the feasibility and determine the requirements of interconnecting a Generating Facility with Electric Corporation's Distribution System.
- **5.2.2 Facility Costs.** An Electricity Producer shall be responsible for all costs associated with Interconnection Facilities owned by the Electricity Producer. The Electricity Producer shall also be responsible for any costs reasonably incurred by Electrical Corporation in providing,

operating, or maintaining Interconnection Facilities and Distribution System Improvements required solely for the interconnection of the Electricity Producer's Generating Facility with Electrical Corporation's Distribution System.

5.2.3 Separation of Costs. Should Electrical Corporation combine the installation of Interconnection Facilities, or Distribution System Improvements with modifications or additions to the Electrical Corporation's Distribution System to serve other Customers or Electricity Producers, Electricity Corporation shall not include the costs of such separate or incremental facilities in the amounts billed to the Electricity Producer for the Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System Improvements required pursuant to this Rule.

5.3 Installation and Financing of Interconnection Facilities Owned and Operated by Electrical Corporation

- **5.3.1** Agreement Required. Costs for Special Facilities shall be paid by Electricity Producer pursuant to the provisions contained in the Interconnection Agreement or, where the nature and extent of the Interconnection Facilities and Distribution System Improvements warrant additional detail, in a separate Interconnection Facility Financing and Operating Agreement between the Electricity Producer and Electrical Corporation, and Electrical Corporation's applicable tariffs and rules for Special Facilities.
- **5.3.2 Attachments and Modifications to Distribution System.** Except as provided for in Section 5.3.3 of this Rule, Interconnection Facilities connected directly to Electrical Corporation's Distribution System and Distribution System Improvements shall be provided, installed, owned and maintained by Electrical Corporation as Special Facilities.
- 5.3.3 Third-Party Installations. Subject to the approval of Electrical Corporation, an Electricity Producer may, at its option, employ a qualified contractor to provide and install Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System Improvements to be owned and operated by Electrical Corporation. Such Interconnection Facilities and Distribution System Improvements shall be installed in accordance with Electrical Corporation's design and specifications. Upon final inspection and acceptance by Electrical Corporation, the Electricity Producer shall transfer ownership of such Electricity Producer installed Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System Improvements to Electrical Corporation and such facilities shall thereafter be owned and maintained by Electrical Corporation at Electricity Producer's expense as Special Facilities. The Electricity Producer shall pay the Electrical Corporation's reasonable costs of design, administration, and monitoring the installation of such facilities to ensure compliance with Electrical Corporation's requirements. Electricity Producer shall also be responsible for all costs, including any income tax liability, associated with the transfer of Electricity Producer installed Interconnection Facilities and Distribution System Improvements to Electrical Corporation.
- **5.3.4** Reservation of Unused Facilities. When a Electricity Producer wishes to reserve Electrical Corporation-owned Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System Improvements installed and financed as Special Facilities for the Electricity Producer, but idled by a change in the operation of the Electricity Producer's Generating Facility or otherwise, Electricity Producer may elect to abandon or reserve such facilities consistent with the terms of its Interconnection Facility Financing and Operating Agreement with Electrical Corporation. If Electricity Producer elects to reserve idled Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System Improvements, Electrical Corporation shall be entitled to continue to charge Electrical Producer for the costs related to the ongoing operation and maintenance of the Special Facilities.
- **5.3.5 Refund of Salvage Value.** When a Electricity Producer elects to abandon the Special Facilities for which it has either advanced the installed costs or constructed and transferred to the Electrical Corporation, the Electricity Producer shall, at a minimum, receive from the Electrical Corporation a credit for the net salvage value of the Special Facilities.

6. METERING, MONITORING AND TELEMETRY

- **6.1 General Requirements.** All Generating Facilities shall be metered in accordance with this Section 6 and shall meet all applicable standards of the Electrical Corporation contained in the Electrical Corporation's applicable tariffs and published Electrical Corporation manuals dealing with metering specifications. The requirements in this Section 6 do not apply to metering of Generating Facilities operating under the Electrical Corporation's net metering tariff pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Section 2827.
- **6.2 Metering by non-Electrical Corporation Parties.** The ownership, installation, operation, reading, and testing of metering for Generating Facilities shall be by the Electrical Corporation except to the extent that the CPUC has determined that all these functions, or any of them, may be performed by a non-Electrical Corporation as authorized by the CPUC.
- **6.3 Net Generation Metering.** For purposes of monitoring Generating Facility operation for determination of standby charges and applicable non-bypassable charges as defined in Electrical Corporation's tariffs, and for Distribution System planning and operations, consistent with Section 2.4 of these Rules, the Electrical Corporation shall have the right to specify the type, and require the installation of, Net Generation Metering. The Electrical Corporation shall require the provision of generator output data to the extent reasonably necessary to provide information for the utility to administer its tariffs or to operate and plan its system. The Electrical Corporation shall only require Net Generation Metering to the extent that less intrusive and/or more cost effective options for providing the necessary generator output data are not available. In exercising its discretion to require Net Generation Metering, the Electrical Corporation shall consider all relevant factors, including but not limited to:
 - 1. Data requirements in proportion to need for information;
 - 2. Customer election to install equipment that adequately addresses the Electrical Corporation's operational requirements;
 - 3. Accuracy and type of required metering consistent with purposes of collecting data:
 - 4. Cost of metering relative to the need for and accuracy of the data;
 - 5. The project's size relative to the cost of the metering/monitoring;
 - 6. Other means of obtaining the data (e.g. generator logs, proxy data etc.);
 - 7. Requirements under any power purchase agreement with the customer.

The Electrical Corporation will report to the CPUC or designated authority, on a quarterly basis, the rationale for requiring net generation equipment in each instance along with the size and location of the facility.

6.4 Point of Common Coupling Metering. For purposes of assessing Electrical Corporation charges for retail service, the Electricity Producer's Point of Common Coupling Metering shall be a bi-directional meter so that power deliveries to and from the Electricity Producer's site can be separately recorded. Alternately, the Electricity Producer may, at its sole option and cost, require the Electrical Corporation to install multi-metering equipment to separately record power deliveries to the Distribution System and retail purchases from the Electric Corporation. Such Point of Common Coupling Metering shall be equipped with detents to prevent reverse registration.

- **6.5 Telemetering.** If the nameplate rating of the Generating Facility is 1 MW or greater, Telemetering equipment at the Net Generator Metering location may be required at the Electricity Producer's (and Customer's) expense. If the Generating Facility is interconnected to a Distribution System operating at a voltage below 10kV, then Telemetering equipment may be required on Generating Facilities 250 kW or greater. The Electrical Corporation shall only require Telemetering to the extent that less intrusive and/or more cost effective options for providing the necessary data in real time are not available. The Electrical Corporation will report to the CPUC or designated authority, on a quarterly basis, the rationale for requiring telemetering equipment in each instance along with the size and location of the facility.
- **6.6 Sunset Provision.** Sections 6.3 and 6.5 are interim provisions only. The Electrical Corporation shall file permanent metering requirements with the CPUC on or by December 31, 2002. At that time, the Electrical Corporation shall serve its application for approval of permanent metering requirements on the service list in Rulemaking 99-10-025.
- **6.7 Location.** Where Electrical Corporation-owned metering equipment is located on the Electricity Producer's (or Customer's) premises, Electricity Producer (and Customer) shall provide, at no expense to the Electrical Corporation, a suitable location for all such metering equipment.
- **6.8 Costs of metering.** The Electricity Producer (and Customer) will bear all costs of the metering required by this Rule 21, including the incremental costs of operating and maintaining the Metering.

7. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS

- 7.1 The CPUC shall have initial jurisdiction to interpret, add, delete or modify any provision of this Rule or of any agreements entered into between the Electrical Corporation and the Electricity Producer to implement this tariff ("the implementing agreements") and to resolve disputes regarding the Electrical Corporation's performance of its obligations under its electric rules and tariffs, the implementing agreements, and requirements related to the interconnection of the Electricity Producer's Facilities pursuant to this Rule .
- **7.2** Any dispute arising between the Electrical Corporation and the Electricity Producer (individually "Party" and collectively "the Parties") regarding the Electrical Corporation's performance of its obligations under its electric rules and tariffs, the implementing agreements, and requirements related to the interconnection of Producer's Facilities pursuant to this Rule shall be resolved according to the following procedures.
 - **7.2.1** The dispute shall be reduced to writing by the aggrieved Party in a letter ("the dispute letter") to the other Party containing the relevant known facts pertaining to the dispute, the specific dispute and the relief sought, and express notice by the aggrieved Party that it is invoking the procedures under Section 7.2. Within 45 calendar days of the date of the dispute letter, the Parties' authorized representatives will be required to meet and confer to try to resolve the dispute.
 - **7.2.2** If the Parties do not resolve their dispute within 45 calendar days after the date of the dispute letter, the dispute shall, upon demand of either party, be submitted to resolution before the Commission in accordance with the Commission's rules, regulations and procedures applicable to the resolution of such disputes.
- **7.3** Pending resolution of any dispute under this section, the Parties shall proceed diligently with the performance of their respective obligations under this Rule and the implementing agreements, unless the implementing agreements have been terminated.

a. Disputes as to the application and implementation of this section shall be subject to resolution pursuant to the procedures set forth in this section.

8. **DEFINITIONS**

Accredited, Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL): A laboratory approved to perform the certification testing requirements.

Active Anti-Islanding Scheme: A control scheme installed with the Generating Facility that senses and prevents the formation of an Unintended Island.

Applicant: The entity submitting an Application for Interconnection.

Application: The standard form CPUC-approved document submitted to the Electrical Corporation for electrical interconnection of a Generator with the Electrical Corporation.

Certification Test: A test adopted by an Electrical Corporation that verifies conformance of certain equipment with CPUC-approved performance standards in order to be classified as Certified Equipment. Certification Tests are performed by NRTLs.

Certification; Certified; Certificate: The documented results of a successful Certification Testing.

Certified Equipment: Equipment that has passed the Certification Test.

CPUC: The Public Utilities Commission of the State of California.

Commissioning Test: A test performed during the commissioning of all or part of a DG system to achieve one or more of the following:

- Verify specific aspects of its performance;
- Calibrate its instrumentation;
- Establish instrument or Protective Function set-points.

Customer: The entity that receives or is entitled to receive Distribution Service through the Distribution System.

Dedicated Transformer; Dedicated Distribution Transformer: A transformer that provides Electricity Service to a single Customer. The Customer may or may not have a Generating Facility.

Distributed Generation: Electrical power generation by any means, including from stored electricity, that is interconnected to an Electrical Corporation at a Point of Common Coupling under the jurisdiction of the CPUC.

Distributed Generator; Generator (DG): An individual electrical power plant (including required equipment, appurtenances, protective equipment and structures) that is capable of Distributed Generation.

Distribution Service: All services required by, or provided to, a Customer pursuant to the approved tariffs and rules of the Electrical Corporation.

Distribution System: All electrical wires, equipment, and other facilities owned or provided by the Electrical Corporation by which an Electrical Corporation provides Distribution Service to its Customers.

Electrical Corporation (EC): The entity that, under the jurisdiction of the CPUC, is charged with providing Electricity Distribution Service to the Customer.

Electricity Producer (EP): The entity that executes an Interconnection Agreement with the Electrical Corporation. The Electricity Producer may or may not own or operate the Generating Facility, but is responsible for the rights and obligations related to the Interconnection Agreement.

Emergency: An actual or imminent condition or situation, which jeopardizes the Distribution System Integrity.

Field Testing: Testing performed in the field to determine whether equipment meets the Electrical Corporation's requirements for safe and reliable Interconnection

Generating Facility: All Distributed Generators that are included in an Interconnection Agreement.

Gross Nameplate Rating: The total gross generating capacity of the Distributed Generator as designated by the manufacturer of the Distributed Generator.

Host Load: Electrical power that is consumed by the Customer at the property on which the Generating Facility is located.

Initial Operation: The first time the Generating Facility is in Parallel Operation.

Initial Review: The review by the Electrical Corporation, following receipt of an Application, to determine the following:

If an Application qualifies for Simplified Interconnection, or

If an Application can be made to qualify for Interconnection with supplemental review determining any potential additional requirements, or

If an Interconnection Study is required, the cost estimate and schedule for performing the Interconnection Study

In-rush Current: The current drawn by the DG during startup.

Interconnection Agreement: An agreement between the Electrical Corporation and the Electricity Producer that gives each the certain rights and obligations to effect or end Interconnection.

Interconnection Study: A study to establish the requirements for Interconnection of an Electricity Producer.

Interconnection; (Interconnected): The physical connection of Distributed Generation in accordance with the requirements of these rules so that Parallel Operation with the utility system can occur (has occurred).

Interconnection Facilities: The electrical wires, switches and related equipment that interconnect a Generating Facility to the Distribution System.

Island; Islanding: A condition on the Distribution System in which one or more Generating Facilities deliver power to Customers using a portion of the Distribution System that is electrically isolated from the remainder of the Distribution System.

ISO: The California Independent System Operator, responsible for the management of electrical power flow through California's electrical transmission network.

Line Section: That portion of the Distribution System connected to a Customer bounded by automatic sectionalizing devices or the end of the line.

Metering Equipment: All equipment, hardware, software including meter cabinets, conduit, etc. that is necessary for Metering.

Metering: The measurement of electrical power flow in kW and/or kWh, and, if necessary, kVAR at a point, and its display to the Electrical Corporation, as required by this rule.

Net Energy Metering: Metering for the mutual purchase and sale of electricity between the Electricity Producer and the Electrical Corporation pursuant to the net metering tariff approved by the CPUC.

Net Generation Metering: The Metering of the net electrical energy output in kW and kWh from a given Generating Facility. This may also be the measurement of the difference between the total electrical energy produced by a Distributed Generator and the electrical energy consumed by the auxiliary equipment necessary to operate the Distributed Generator. For a Distributed Generator with no Host Load and/or Section 218 Load, Metering that is located at the point of Common Coupling. For a Distributed Generator with Host Load and/or Section 218 Load, Metering that is located at the Distributed Generator bus after the point of auxiliary load(s) and prior to serving Host Load and/or Section 218 Load.

Net Metering: Where electricity at a point may flow in both directions, the measurement of the net, or the algebraic sum, of electrical energy in kWh, that flows through that point in a given time-interval. Net Metering typically uses two meters, or in some cases a single meter with two or more registers, to individually measure a Customer's electric deliveries to, and consumption of retail service from, the Distribution System. Over a given time frame (typically a month) the difference between these two values yield either net consumption or net surplus. The meter registers are ratcheted to prevent reverse registration. If available, a single meter may be allowed spin backward to yield the same effect as a two meter (or register) arrangement.

Net Nameplate Rating: The Gross Nameplate Rating minus the consumption of electrical power of the Distributed Generator as designated by the manufacturer(s) of the Distributed Generator.

Network Service: More than one electrical feeder providing Distribution Service at a Point of Common Coupling.

Non-Exporting: Designed to prevent the transfer of electrical energy from the EP to the EC.

Non-Islanding: Designed to detect and disconnect from a stable Unintended Island with matched load and generation. Reliance solely on under/over voltage and frequency trip is not considered sufficient to qualify as Non-Islanding.

Parallel Operation: The simultaneous operation of a Distributed Generator with power delivered or received by the Electrical Corporation while Interconnected. For the purpose of this rule, Parallel Operation includes only those generators that are so interconnected with the Distribution System for more than 60 cycles.

Periodic Test: A test performed on part or all of a DG system at pre-determined time or operational intervals to achieve one or more or the following:

- Verify specific aspects of its performance;
- Calibrate instrumentation;
- Verify and re-establish instrument or Protective Function set-points.

Point of Common Coupling Metering: Metering located at the Point of Common Coupling. This is the same Metering as Net Generation Metering for Generating Facilities with no Host Load and/or Section 218 Load.

Point of Common Coupling (PCC): The transfer point for electricity between the electrical conductors of the Electrical Corporation and the electrical conductors of the Electricity Producer.

Point of Interconnection: The electrical transfer point between an electrical power plant and the electrical distribution system. This may or may not be coincident with the Point of Common Coupling.

Power Purchase Agreement(PPA): An agreement for the sale of electricity by the Electricity Producer to the Electrical Corporation.

Production Test: A test performed on each device coming off the production line to verify certain aspects of its performance.

Protective Function(s): The equipment, hardware and/or software in a Generating Facility (whether discrete or integrated with other functions) whose purpose is to protect against Unsafe Operating Conditions.

Prudent Electrical Practices: Those practices, methods, and equipment, as changed from time to time, that are commonly used in prudent electrical engineering and operations to design and operate electric equipment lawfully and with safety, dependability, efficiency, and economy.

Rule 2: A CPUC rule specific to each Electrical Corporation that describes the conditions of Distribution Service to Customers and includes provisions for charges related to Special Facilities and Interconnection Facilities.

Scheduled Operation Date: The date specified in the Interconnection Agreement when the Generating Facility is, by the Electricity Producer's estimate, expected to begin Initial Operation.

Secondary Network: A network supplied by several primary feeders suitably interlaced through the area in order to achieve acceptable loading of the transformers under emergency conditions and to provide a system of extremely high service reliability. Secondary networks usually operate at 600 V or lower.

Section 218 Load: Electrical power that is supplied in compliance with California Public Utilities Code (PU Code) section 218. PU Code 218 defines an "Electric Corporation" and provides conditions under which a generator transaction would not classify a generating entity as an Electric Corporation. These conditions relate to "over-the-fence" sale of electricity from a generator without using the Distribution System.

Simplified Interconnection: Interconnection conforming to the minimum requirements under these rules, as determined by Appendix A.

Short Circuit Contribution Ratio (SCCR): The ratio of the Generating Facility's short circuit contribution to the Electrical Corporation's short circuit contribution for a three-phase fault at the high voltage side of the distribution transformer connecting the Generating Facility to the Electrical Corporation's system.

Special Facilities: Those facilities installed at the Electricity Producer's request, which the Electrical Corporation does not normally furnish under its tariff schedule; or a pro rata portion of existing facilities requested by the Electricity Producer, allocated for the sole use of such an Electricity Producer, which would not normally be allocated for such sole use.

Stabilization; Stability: The return to normalcy of an Electrical Corporation Distribution System, following a disturbance. Stabilization is usually measured as a time period during which voltage and frequency are within acceptable ranges.

Starting Voltage Drop: The percentage voltage drop at a specified point resulting from In-rush current. The SVD can also be expressed in volts on a particular base voltage, (eg. 6 volts on a 120-volt base, yielding a 5% drop).

System Integrity: The condition under which a Distribution System is deemed safe and can reliably perform its intended functions in accordance with the safety and reliability rules of the Electrical Corporation.

Telemetering: The electrical or electronic transmittal of Metering data on a real-time basis to the Electrical Corporation.

Type Test: A test performed on a sample of a particular model of a device to verify specific aspects of its design, construction and performance.

Unintended Island: The creation of an island, usually following a loss of a portion of the Distribution System, without the approval of the Electrical Corporation.

Unsafe Operating Conditions: Conditions that, if left uncorrected, could result in harm to personnel, damage to equipment, loss of System Integrity or operation outside pre-established parameters required by the Interconnection Agreement.

Appendix A - Initial Review Process for Applications to Interconnect Distributed Generation

Introduction:

This Initial Review Process was developed to create a path for selection and rapid approval of those Applications for Interconnection that do not require an Interconnection Study. The capitalized phrases used in this Appendix A have the same meanings as those in Section 8 of the proposed Rule 21.

Purpose:


The Initial Review determines:

- a. If an Application qualifies for Simplified Interconnection;
- b. If an Application can be made to qualify for Interconnection with supplemental review determining any potential additional requirements, or
- c. If an Interconnection Study is required, the cost estimate and schedule for performing the Interconnection Study.

NOTE:

Failure to pass any screen means that further review, and/or studies, are required before the DG project will be approved for interconnection with the Electrical Corporation. It does not mean that the DG cannot interconnect.

Initial Review Process Flow Chart



Initial Review Process Details:

1. Is the PCC on a Networked Secondary System?

If No, continue to next screen.

If Yes, DG does not qualify for Simplified Interconnection.

Perform supplemental review.

Significance:

1. Special considerations must be given to DG on networked secondary distribution systems because of the design and operational aspects of network protectors. There are no such considerations for radial distribution systems.

2. Will power be exported across the PCC?

If Yes, DG does not qualify for Simplified Interconnection. Perform supplemental review.

If No, DG must incorporate one of the following four options:

Option 1:

To insure power is never exported, a reverse power Protective Function must be implemented at the PCC.

Default setting shall be 0.1% (export) of transformer rating, with a maximum 2.0 second time delay.

Option 2:

To insure at least a minimum import of power, an under-power Protective Function must implemented at the PCC.

Default setting shall be 5% (import) of DG Gross Nameplate Rating, with maximum 2.0 second time delay.

Option 3:

To limit the incidental export of power, all of the following conditions must be met:

- The aggregate DG capacity of the Generating Facility must be no more than 25% of the nominal ampere rating of the Customer's Service Equipment;
- The total aggregate DG capacity must be no more than 50% of the service transformer rating (This capacity requirement does not apply to customers taking primary service without an intervening transformer);
- The DG must be certified as Non-Islanding.

Option 4:

To insure that the relative size (capacity) of the DG compared to facility load results in no export of power without the use of additional devices, the DG capacity must be no greater than 50% of the Customer's verifiable minimum annual load.

Significance:

- 1. EC's Distribution System does not need to be studied for load-carrying capability or DG power flow effects on EC voltage regulators since on-site DG reduces EC load.
- 2. Permits use of reverse-power relaying at the PCC as positive anti-islanding protection.

3. Is the Interconnection Equipment Certified for the Application or does the Interconnection Equipment have Interim EC Approval?

If No, DG does not qualify for Simplified Interconnection. Perform supplemental review.

If Yes, continue to next screen.

Significance:

The Electrical Corporation does not need to review, or test, the DG's protective function scheme. Site Commissioning Testing may still be required to insure that the system is connected properly and that the protective functions are working properly.

- Basic protective function requirements met.
- Harmonic distortion limits met.
- Synchronizing requirements met.
- Pf regulation requirements met.
- Non-islanding requirements met.
- If used, reverse power function requirement met.
- If used, under-power function requirement met.

4. Is the aggregate DG Capacity on the Line Section less than 15% of Line Section Peak Load?

If Yes, continue to next screen.

If No, perform supplemental review to determine cumulative impact on Line Section.

Significance:

- 1. Low penetration of DG will have a minimal impact on operation and load restoration.
- 2. The operating requirements for a high penetration of DG may be different since the system impact will no longer be minimal, therefore requiring additional study or controls.

5. Is the Starting Voltage Drop screen met?

If Yes, continue to next screen. If No, perform supplemental review.

NOTICE: This screen only applies to Generating Facilities that start by motoring the DG.

The EC has two options in determining whether Starting Voltage Drop could be a problem; which option to use is at the EC's discretion.

Option 1: The DG starting Inrush Current must be equal to or less than the continuous ampere rating of the Customer's Service Equipment.

Option 2: Determine the impedances of service distribution transformer (if present) and secondary conductors, from primary to Customer's Service Equipment. Perform voltage drop calculation, or alternately use EC's tables or nomographs. Voltage drop must be less than 2.5% for primary interconnection and 5% for secondary interconnection.

Significance:

- 1. This screen addresses potential voltage fluctuation problems for generators that start by motoring.
- 2. When starting, DG should have minimal impact on the service voltage to other EC Customers.
- 3. Passing this screen does not relieve the DG from compliance with the flicker requirements of Rule 21, Section 4.

6. Is the DG Capacity 11 kVA or less?

If Yes, DG qualifies for Simplified Interconnection. If No, continue to next screen.

Significance:

1. DG has minimal impact on fault current levels and any potential line overvoltages from loss of system neutral grounding.

7. Is Short Circuit Current Contribution screen met?

If No, DG does not qualify for Simplified Interconnection. Perform supplemental review.

If Yes, continue to next screen.

Short Circuit Current Contribution Screen:

- A. At primary side (high side) of the Dedicated Distribution Transformer, for the specified feeder, the sum of the Short Circuit Contribution Ratios (SCCR) of all DG's on the feeder must be less than or equal to 0.1.
- B. At secondary (low side) of a shared distribution transformer, the short circuit contribution of the proposed DG must be less than or equal to 2.5% of the interrupting rating of the Customer's Service Equipment.

Significance:

No significant DG impact on:

- Distribution System's short circuit duty
- Distribution System fault detection sensitivity
- Distribution System relay coordination
- Distribution System fuse-saving schemes

8. Is the Line Configuration screen met?

If No, then DG does not qualify for Simplified Interconnection. Perform supplemental review.

If Yes, then DG qualifies for Simplified Interconnection.

Line Configuration Screen:

Identify primary distribution line configuration. Based on proposed interconnection type, determine from table whether DG passes screen.

Primary Distribution Line	Type of Interconnection to	Result/Criteria
Type	Primary Distribution Line	
Three-phase, three wire	Any	Pass screen
Three-phase, four wire	Single-phase, line-to-neutral	Pass screen
Three-phase, four wire	All others	To pass, aggregate DG
(For any line that has such a		Capacity must be less than
section OR mixed 3 wire & 4		or equal to 10% of Line
wire)		Section Peak Load.

Significance:

1. If the Electrical Corporation's primary system is three-wire or the DG interconnection transformer is single-phase (line-to-neutral), then there is no concern about overvoltages to the Electrical Corporation's, or Customer, equipment caused by loss of system neutral grounding during the operating time of anti-islanding protection.

(END OF APPENDIX A)

Appendix B - Testing and Certification Criteria

B1 Introduction

This Appendix describes the test procedures and requirements for equipment used for the Interconnection of Distributed Generation to the Electric Corporation's Distribution System. Included are Type Testing, Production Testing, Commissioning Testing, and Periodic Testing. The procedures listed rely heavily on those described in appropriate Underwriters Laboratory (UL), Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), and International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) documents—most notably UL 1741 and IEEE 929—as well as the testing described in May 1999 New York Standardized Interconnection Requirements. These procedures and requirements were developed prior to the completion of IEEE P1547 Standard for Distributed Resources Interconnected with Electric Power Systems, and should be revisited once that standard is published.

The tests described here, together with the technical requirements in Section 4 of Rule 21, are intended to provide assurance that the DG equipment will not adversely affect the EC Distribution System and that it will cease providing power to the grid under abnormal conditions. The tests were developed assuming a low level of DG penetration. At high levels of DG penetration, other requirements and corresponding test procedures may need to be defined.

This test specification also provides a means of certifying equipment. The Electric Corporation does not need to review the design or test Protective Functions of Certified Equipment. The use of non-certified equipment may be acceptable subject to testing and approval by the EC as discussed below.

B2 Certification Criteria

Equipment tested and approved (e.g. listed) by an accredited, nationally recognized testing laboratory (NRTL) as having met both the Type Testing and Production Testing requirements is considered Certified Equipment for purposes of Interconnection. Certification may apply to either a pre-packaged system or an assembly of components that address the necessary functions. Type Testing may be done in the factory/test lab or in the field. At the discretion of the testing laboratory, field-certification may apply only to the particular installation tested. In such cases, some or all of the tests may need to be repeated at other installations.

For non-certified equipment, some or all of the tests described in this document may be required by the EC. The manufacturer or other lab acceptable to the EC may perform these tests. Test results must be submitted to the EC with the Interconnection Application for review and approval under the supplemental review. Approval by one EC for use in a particular application does not guarantee approval for use in other applications or by other ECs.

The NRTL shall provide to the manufacturer, at a minimum, a Certificate with the following information for each device certified:

Administrative:

- Effective date of certification or applicable serial number (range or first in series), other proof that certification is current
- Equipment model number (s)

- Software version, if applicable
- Test procedures specified (including date or revision number)
- Laboratory accreditation (by whom and to what standard)

Technical (As appropriate):

- Device rating (kW, kVA, V, A, etc.)
- Maximum available fault current, A
- In-rush current, A
- Trip points, if factory set (trip value and timing)
- Trip point and timing ranges for adjustable settings
- Nominal power factor or range if adjustable
- If the device/system is certified for non-export and the method used (reverse power or under power)
- If the device/system is certified non-islanding

It is the responsibility of the equipment manufacturer to ensure that certification information is made publicly available by the manufacturer, the testing laboratory, or by a third party.

B3 Type Testing

B3.1 Inverters

Static power inverters shall meet all of the Type Tests and requirements appropriate for a utility interactive inverter as specified in UL 1741 *Static Inverters and Charge Controllers for Use in Photovoltaic Power Systems*, and listed below. These requirements may be applied to inverters used with DG sources other than PV. The specific section number from the May 1999 version of UL1741 is provided for each test and requirement. The titles for some sections were added for clarity. These section numbers are subject to change by UL. A revised version of 1741 is expected to be published around Nov 2000. The utility interconnection-related procedures and requirements of that version will need to be reviewed to determine if they should be adopted into these testing and certification rules. The requirements described below cover only issues related to Interconnection and are not intended to address device safety and other issues outside the need and relationship between the EC and EP.

- 39.1 Utility Disconnect Switch
- 39.2 Field Adjustable Trip-points
- 39.3 Field Adjustable Trip-points
- 39.4 Field Adjustable Trip-points
- 39.5 Field Adjustable Trip-points, Marking
- 40.1 DC Isolation

41.2 Simulated PV Array (Input Source) requirements 44 Dielectric Voltage Withstand Test 45.2.2 Power Factor 45.4 **Harmonic Distortion** 45.5 DC Injection 46.2 Utility Voltage and Frequency Variation Test 46.2.3 Reset Delay 46.4 Loss of Control circuit 47.3 **Short Circuit Test** 47.7 Load Transfer Test

A description of key aspects of these procedures is provided in the testing procedures section of this Appendix.

Separate test procedures are provided to certify non-islanding function (B3.4) and non-export function (B3.5), to determine the in-rush current B3.6, to subject the device to voltage surge conditions B3.7, and to verify the inverter's ability to synchronize with the Distribution System (B3.8).

B3.2 Synchronous Generators

Until a standardized test procedure, written specifically for synchronous generators, is identified, an EC or NRTL shall determine which of the tests described in this Appendix are appropriate and necessary to certify the performance of the control and protection system functions of the synchronous machine, and how to perform them. The following tests, defined in UL 1741, shall be performed as applicable to a synchronous generator.

39.1 **Utility Disconnect Switch** Field Adjustable Trip-points 39.2 39.3 Field Adjustable Trip-points 39.4 Field Adjustable Trip-points Field Adjustable Trip-points, Marking 39.5 Dielectric Voltage Withstand Test 44 45.2.2 **Power Factor** 45.4 Harmonic Distortion 46.2 Utility Voltage and Frequency Variation Test Reset Delay 46.2.3 Loss of Control circuit 46.4 **Short Circuit Test** 47.3

Separate test procedures are provided to certify non-islanding function and non-export function, to determine in-rush current, to subject the device to voltage surge conditions, and to verify the generator's ability to synchronize with the Distribution System.

B3.3 Induction Generators

Until a standardized test procedure, written specifically for induction generators is identified, an EC or NRTL shall determine which of the tests described in this Appendix

are appropriate and necessary to certify the performance of the control and protection system functions of the induction generator, and how to perform them. The following tests, defined in UL 1741, shall be performed as applicable to a induction generator.

39.1 **Utility Disconnect Switch** 39.2 Field Adjustable Trip-points 39.3 Field Adjustable Trip-points 39.4 Field Adjustable Trip-points 39.5 Field Adjustable Trip-points, Marking Dielectric Voltage Withstand Test 44 45.2.2 Power Factor 45.4 Harmonic Distortion 46.2 Utility Voltage and Frequency Variation Test 46.2.3 Reset Delay 46.4 Loss of Control circuit **Short Circuit Test** 47.3 47.7 Load Transfer Test

Separate test procedures are provided to certify non-islanding function and non-export function, to determine the in-rush current, and to subject the device to voltage surge conditions.

B3.4 Anti-Islanding Test

In addition to the above Type Tests, devices that pass the Anti-Islanding test procedure described in this Appendix will be considered Non-Islanding for the purposes of these interconnection requirements.

B3.5 Non-Export Test

In addition to the above Type Tests, devices that pass the Non-Export test procedure described in Section C1.1 will be considered Non-Exporting for the purposes of these interconnection requirements.

B3.6 In-rush Current Test

Generation equipment that utilizes EC power to motor up to speed will be tested using the procedure defined in Section C1.2 to determine the maximum current drawn during this startup process. The resulting in-rush current is used to estimate the starting voltage drop.

B3.7 Surge Withstand Capability Test

Interconnection equipment shall tested for surge withstand capability (SWC), both oscillatory and fast transient, in accordance with the test procedure defined in IEEE/ANSI C62.45 using the peak values defined in IEEE/ANSI C62.41 Tables 1 and 2 for location category B3. An acceptable result occurs even if the device is damaged by the surge, but is unable to operate or energize the EC. If the device remains operable after being subject

to the surge conditions, previous type tests related to EC protection and power quality will need to be repeated to ensure the unit will still pass those tests following the surge test.

B3.8 Synchronization Test

This test verifies that the unit synchronizes within the specified voltage/frequency/phase angle requirements. It is applied to synchronous generators and inverters capable of operating as voltage-source while connected to the EC. This test is not necessary for induction generators or current-source inverters.

The test will start with only one of the three parameters--voltage difference between DG and EC, frequency difference, or phase angle--outside of the synchronization specification. Initiate the synchronization routine and verify that the DG is brought within specification prior to synchronization. Repeat the test five times for each of the three parameters.

For manual synchronization with synch check or manual control with auto synchronization, the test must verify that paralleling does not occur until the parameters are brought within spec.

B4 Production Testing

As a minimum, the Utility Voltage and Frequency Variation Test procedure described in UL1741 under Manufacturing and Production Tests, Section 68 shall be performed as part of routine production (100 percent) on all equipment used to interconnect DG to EC. This testing may be performed in the factory or as part of a Commissioning Test (B5.1).

B5 Commissioning Testing

Commissioning Testing, where required, will be performed on-site to verify protective settings and functionality. Upon initial Parallel Operation of a generating system, or any time interface hardware or software is changed that may affect the functions listed below, a Commissioning Test must be performed. An individual qualified in testing protective equipment (professional engineer, factory-certified technician, or licensed electrician with experience in testing protective equipment) must perform commissioning testing in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended test procedure to prove the settings and requirements of this document.

The EC has the right to witness Commissioning Tests as described below, or to require written certification by the installer describing which tests were performed and their results.

Functions to be tested during commissioning, particularly with respect to non-certified equipment, may consist of the following:

- 1. Over- and under-voltage
- 2. Over- and under-frequency
- 3. Anti-Islanding function (if applicable)
- 4. Non-Export function (if applicable)
- 5. Inability to energize dead line

- 6. Time delay restart after utility source is stable
- 7. Utility system fault detection (if used)
- 8. Synchronizing controls (if applicable)
- 9. Other interconnection protective functions that may be required as part of the Interconnection Agreement

Other checks and tests that may need to be performed include:

- 1. Verifying final protective settings
- 2. Trip test
- 3. In-service test

B5.1 Certified Equipment

Systems qualifying for Simplified Interconnection incorporate Certified Equipment that have, at a minimum, passed the Type and Production Tests described in this document, and are judged to have little or no potential impact on the EC distribution system. For such systems, it is necessary to perform only the following tests:

- 1. Protection settings that have been changed after factory testing will require field verification. Tests will be performed using injected secondary quantities, applied waveforms, a test connection using a generator to simulate abnormal utility voltage or frequency, or varying the set points to show that the device trips at the measured (actual) utility voltage or frequency.
- 2. Non-Islanding function will be checked by operating a load break disconnect switch to verify the interconnection equipment ceases to energize the line and does not re-energize for the required time delay after the switch is closed
- 3. Non-Export function will be checked using secondary injection techniques. This function may also be tested by adjusting the DG output and local loads to verify that the applicable non-export criteria (i.e., reverse power or under power) are met.

The supplemental review or an Interconnection Study may impose additional components or additional testing.

B5.2 Non-Certified Equipment

Non-certified equipment shall be subjected to the appropriate tests described in Type Testing (Section B3) as well as those described in Certified Equipment Commissioning Test (Section B5.1). With EC approval, these tests may be performed in the factory, in the field as part of commissioning, or a combination of both. The EC, at its discretion, may also approve a reduced set of tests for a particular application or, for example, if they have sufficient experience with the equipment.

B5.3 Verifying final protective settings

If the testing is part of the commissioning process, then, at the completion of such testing, the EP shall confirm all devices are set to EC-approved settings. This step shall be documented in the Commissioning Test Certification.

B5.4 Trip test

Interconnection protective devices (e.g. reverse power relay) that have not previously been tested as part of the interconnection system with their associated interrupting devices (e.g. contactor or circuit breaker) shall be trip tested during commissioning. The trip test shall be adequate to prove that the associated interrupting devices open when the protective devices operate.

Interlocking circuits between protective devices or between interrupting devices shall be similarly tested unless they are part of a system that has been tested and approved during manufacture.

B5.5 In-service test

Interconnection protective devices that have not previously been tested as part of the interconnection system with their associated instrument transformers or that are wired in the field shall be given an in-service test during commissioning. This test will verify proper wiring, polarity, CT/PT ratios, and proper operation of the measuring circuits. The in-service test shall be made with the power system energized and carrying a known level of current. A measurement shall be made of the magnitude and phase angle of each ac voltage and current connected to the protective device and the results compared to expected values.

For protective devices with built-in metering functions that report current and voltage magnitudes and phase angles, or magnitudes of current, voltage, and real and reactive power, the metered values may be used for in-service testing. Otherwise, portable ammeters, voltmeters, and phase-angle meters shall be used.

B6 Periodic Testing

Periodic Testing of Interconnection-related Protective Functions shall be performed as specified by the manufacturer, or at least every four years. All periodic tests prescribed by the manufacturer shall be performed. The EP shall maintain periodic test reports or a log for inspection by the Electrical Corporation. Periodic Testing conforming to EC test intervals for the particular line section may be specified by the EC under special circumstances, such as high fire hazard areas.

A system that depends upon a battery for trip power shall be checked and logged once per month for proper voltage. Once every four years, the battery must be either replaced or a discharge test performed.

Testing Procedures

C1 Type Test and Requirements

This section describes the Type Tests necessary to qualify a device as Certified, which are not contained in Underwriters Laboratories UL 1741 Standard *Inverters, Converters and Controllers for Use in Independent Power Systems*, or other referenced standards.

C1.1 Non-Export Test Procedure

The non-export test is intended to verify the operation of relays, controllers and inverters designed to limit the export of power and certify the equipment as meeting the requirements of Step 2, Options 1 and 2, of the Initial Review Process. Tests are provided for discrete relay packages and for controllers and inverters that include the intended function.

C1.1.1 Reverse Power Relay Test

This version of the Non-Export test procedure is intended for stand-alone reverse power and under power relay packages provided to meet the requirements of Options 1 and 2 of the Export Screen. It should be understood that in the reverse power application, the relay will provide a trip output with power in the export (toward the EC system) direction.

Step 1: Power Flow Test at Minimum, Midpoint and Maximum Pickup Level Settings

Determine the appropriate secondary pickup current for the desired export power flow of 0.5 secondary watts (the agreed-upon minimum pickup setting, assumes 5Amp and 120V CT/PT secondary). Apply nominal voltage with minimum current setting at 0 degrees in the trip direction. Increase the current to pickup level. Observe the relay's (LCD or computer display) indication of power values. Note the indicated power level at which the relay. Trips. The power indication should be within 2 percent of the expected power. For relays with adjustable settings, repeat this test at the midpoint, and maximum settings.

Repeat at phase angles of 90, 180 and 270 degrees and verify that the relay does NOT operate (measured watts will be zero or negative).

Step 2: Leading Power Factor Test

Apply rated voltage with a minimum pickup current setting (calculated value for system application) and apply a leading power factor load current in the non-trip direction (current lagging voltage by 135 degrees). Increase the current to relay rated current and verify that the relay does NOT operate. For relay's with adjustable settings, this test should be repeated at the minimum, midpoint, and maximum settings.

Step 3: Minimum Power Factor Test

At nominal voltage and with the minimum pickup (or ranges) determined in Step 1, adjust the current phase angle to 84 or 276 degrees. Increase the current level to pickup (about 10 times higher than at 0 degrees) and verify that the relay operates. Repeat for angles 90, 180 and 270 degrees and verify that the relay does NOT operate.

Step 4: Negative Sequence Voltage Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated relay voltage and current at 180 degrees from tripping direction, to simulate normal load conditions (for 3-phase relays, use Ia at 180, Ib at 60 and Ic and 300 degrees). Remove Phase-1 voltage and observe that the relay does not operate. Repeat for phase-2 and 3.

Step 5: Load Current Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated voltage and current at 180 degrees from the tripping direction, to simulate normal load conditions (use Ia at 180, Ib at 300 and Ic at 60 degrees). Observe that the relay does NOT operate.

Step 6: Unbalanced Fault Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated voltage and 2 times rated current, to simulate an unbalanced fault in the non-trip direction (use Va at 0 degrees, Vb and Vc at 180 degrees, Ia at 180 degrees, Ib at 0 degrees, and Ic at 180 degrees). Observe that the relay, especially single phase, does not misoperate.

Step 7: Time Delay Settings Test

Apply Step 1 settings and set time delay to minimum setting. Adjust the current source to the appropriate level to determine operating time, and compare against calculated values. Verify that the timer stops when the relay trips. Repeat at midpoint and maximum delay settings

Step 8: Dielectric Test

Perform the test described in IEC 414 using 2 kV RMS for 1 minute.

Step 9: Surge withstand

Perform the surge withstand test described in IEEE C37.90.1.1989 or the surge withstand test described in B.3.7.

C1.1.2 Under Power Relay Test

In the underpower application, the relay will provide a trip output when import power (toward the EP) drops below the specified power level.

Note: For an underpower relay, pickup is defined as the highest power level at which the relay indicates that the power is *less* than the set setting.

Step 1: Power Flow Test at Minimum, Midpoint and Maximum Pickup Level Settings

Determine the appropriate secondary pickup current for the desired power flow pickup level of 5% of peak load (the agreed-upon minimum pickup setting). Apply rated voltage and current setting at 0 degrees in the direction of normal load current. Decrease the current to pickup level. Observe the relay's (LCD or computer display) indication of power values. Note the indicated power level at which the relay. Trips. The power indication should be within 2 percent of the expected power. For relays with adjustable settings, repeat the test at the midpoint, and maximum settings.

Repeat at phase angles of 90, 180 and 270 degrees and verify that the relay operates (measured watts will be zero or negative).

Step 2: Leading Power Factor Test

Using the pickup current setting determined in step 1, apply rated voltage and rated leading power factor load current in the normal load direction (current leading voltage by 45 degrees). Decrease the current to 145% of the pickup level determined in Step 1 and verify that the relay does NOT operate. For relays with adjustable settings, repeat the test at the minimum, midpoint, and maximum settings.

Step 3: Minimum Power Factor Test

At nominal voltage and with the minimum pickup (or ranges) determined in Step 1, adjust the current phase angle to 84 or 276 degrees. Decrease the current level to pickup (about 10% of the value at 0 degrees) and verify that the relay operates. Repeat for angles 90, 180 and 270 degrees and verify that the relay operates for any current less than rated current.

Step 4: Negative Sequence Voltage Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated relay voltage and 25% of rated current in the normal load direction, to simulate light load conditions. Remove Phase-1 voltage and observe that the relay does not operate, repeat for phase-2 and 3.

Step 5: Unbalanced Fault Test

Using the pickup settings determined in Step 1, apply rated voltage and 2 times rated current, to simulate an unbalanced fault in the normal load direction (use Va at 0 degrees, Vb and Vc at 180 degrees, Ia at 0 degrees, Ib at 180 degrees, and Ic at 0 degrees). Observe that the relay, especially single phase, operates properly.

Step 6: Time Delay Settings Test

Apply Step 1 settings and set time delay to minimum setting. Adjust the current source to the appropriate level to determine operating time, and compare against calculated values. Verify that the timer stops when the relay trips. Repeat at midpoint and maximum delay settings.

Step 7: Dielectric Test

Perform the test described in IEC 414 using 2 kV RMS for 1 minute.

Step 8: Surge withstand

Perform the surge withstand test described in IEEE C37.90.1.1989 or the surge withstand test described in B.3.7

C1.1.3 Functional Test for Inverters and Controllers

Inverters and controllers designed to provide reverse or under power functions shall be tested to certify the intended operation of this function. Two methods are provided.

Method 1: If the controller utilizes external current/voltage measurement to determine the reverse or underpower condition, then the controller shall be functionally tested by application of appropriate secondary currents and potentials as described in the Relay Test C1.1.1.

Method 2: If external secondary current or potential signals are not used, then unit-specific tests must be conducted to verify that power cannot be exported across the PCC for a period exceeding two seconds. These tests may be factory tests, if the measurement and control points are part of a single unit, or may be provided for in the field.

C1.2 In-rush Current Test

This test will determine the maximum in-rush current drawn by the unit.

C1.2.1 Locked-Rotor Method

Use the test procedure defined in NEMA MG-1 (manufacturer's data is acceptable if available).

C1.2.2 Start-up Method

Install and setup the DG equipment as specified by the manufacturer. Using a calibrated oscilloscope or data acquisition equipment with appropriate speed and accuracy, measure the current draw at the Point of Interconnection as the DG starts up and parallels to the EC. Startup shall follow the normal, manufacturer-specified procedure.

Sufficient time and current resolution and accuracy shall be used to capture the maximum current draw within five percent. In-rush current is defined as the maximum current draw from the EC during the startup process, using a 10-cycle moving average. During the test, the utility source, real or simulated, must be capable of maintaining voltage within +/- five percent of rated at the connection to the unit under test. Repeat this test five times. Report the highest 10-cycle current as the in-rush current

A graphical representation of the time-current characteristic along with the certified in-rush current will be included in the test report and will be made available to the EC.

(END OF APPENDIX B)

(END OF ATTACHMENT A)

ATTACHMENT B INTERCONNECTION APPLICATION AGREEMENT

PROPOSED GENERATING FACILITY INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

8-16-00 Draft

This Generating Facility Interconnection Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into by and between Electrical Producer's Name ("Electricity Producer" or "EP"), and Electric Corporation's Name ("EC"). EP and EC are sometimes also referred to in this Agreement jointly as "Parties" or individually as "Party."

In consideration of the mutual promises and obligations stated in this Agreement and its attachments, the Parties agree as follows:

1. SCOPE AND PURPOSE

3.

This Agreement provides for EP to interconnect and operate a Generating Facility in parallel with EC's Distribution System to serve the electrical loads connected to the electric service account that EC uses to interconnect EP's Generating Facility (or, where permitted under Section 218 of the California Public Utilities Code, the electric loads of an on-site or neighboring party lawfully connected to EP's Generating Facility through EP's circuits).

2. SUMMARY AND DESCRIPTION OF EP's GENERATING FACILITY

SUMM	ARY AND DESC	CRIPTION OF EP'S GENERATING FACILITY							
2.1	A description of the Generating Facility, including a summary of its significant components and a single-line diagram showing the general arrangement of how EP's Generating Facility and loads are interconnected with EC's Distribution System, is attached to and made a part of this Agreement as Appendix A.								
2.2	Generating Fac	cility identification number: (Assigned by EC)							
2.3	EC's customer	electric service account number: (Assigned by EC)							
2.4	Name and address used by EC to locate the electric service account used to interconnect the Generating Facility with EC's Distribution System:								
2.5		meplate Rating of the Generating Facility is: kW.							
2.6		plate Rating of the Generating Facility is kW.							
2.7	The expected a	annual energy production of the Generating Facility is kWh.							
2.8	For the purpose of securing the Competition Transition Charge exemption available under Section 372 of the California Public Utilities Code ("PUC"), EP hereby declares that the Generating Facility <u>does</u> / <u>does not</u> meet the requirements for "Cogeneration" as such term is used in Section 218.5 of the California Public Utilities Code.								
2.9		g Facility's expected date of Initial Operation is date of Initial Operation shall be within two years of the date of this							
DOCU	MENTS INCLUE	DED; DEFINED TERMS							
3.1		nt includes the following exhibits which are specifically incorporated herein art of this Agreement by this reference:							
	Appendix A-	Description of Generating Facility and Single-Line Diagram							
	Appendix B-	Copies of Rules 2 and 21 and other selected rules and tariffs of EC							
	Appendix C	(When applicable) Copy of Interconnection Facility Financing and Ownership Agreement							

3.2 When initially capitalized, whether in the singular or in the plural, the terms used herein shall have the meanings assigned to them either in this Agreement or in Rule 21 of EC's tariffs.

4. TERM AND TERMINATION

- 4.1 This Agreement shall become effective as of the last date entered in Section 16, below. The Agreement shall continue in full force and effect until the earliest date that one of the following events occurs:
 - (a) The Parties agree in writing to terminate the Agreement; or
 - (b) At 12:01 A.M. on the 61st day after EP or EC provides written Notice (pursuant to Section 9, below) to the other Party of EP's or EC's intent to terminate this Agreement.
- 4.2 EP may elect to terminate this Agreement pursuant to the terms of Section 4.1(b) for any reason. EC may elect to terminate this Agreement pursuant to the terms of Section 4.1(b) for one or more of the following reasons:
 - (a) A change in applicable rules, tariffs, and regulations, as approved or directed by the CPUC, or a change in any local, state or federal law, statute or regulation, either of which materially alters or otherwise affects EC's ability or obligation to perform EC's duties under this Agreement; or,
 - (b) EP fails to take all corrective actions specified in EC's Notice that EP's Generating Facility is out of compliance with the terms of this Agreement within the time frame set forth in such Notice; or,
 - (c) EP fails to interconnect and operate the Generating Facility per the terms of this Agreement prior to 120 days after the date set forth in Section 2.9, above, as the Generating Facility's expected date of Initial Operation; or,
 - (d) EP abandons the Generating Facility. EC shall deem the Generating Facility to be abandoned if EC determines, in its sole opinion, the Generating Facility is nonoperational and EP does not provide a substantive response to EC's Notice of intent to terminate this Agreement as a result of EP's apparent abandonment of the Generating Facility affirming EP's intent and ability to continue to operate the Generating Facility.
- 4.3 Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement, EC shall have the right to unilaterally file with the CPUC, pursuant to the CPUC's rules and regulations, an application to terminate this Agreement.
- 4.4 Any agreement attached to and incorporated into this Agreement shall terminate concurrently with this Agreement unless the Parties have agreed otherwise in writing.

5. GENERATING FACILITY OPERATION AND CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

- 5.1 The electric power produced by EP's Generating Facility shall be used solely to serve electrical loads connected to the electric service account that EC uses to interconnect EP's Generating Facility (or, where permitted under Section 218 of the PUC, the electric loads of an on-site or neighboring party lawfully connected to EP's Generating Facility through EP's circuits). EP shall attempt in good faith to regulate the electric power output of EP's Generating Facility so as to prevent the flow of electric energy from the Generating Facility to EC's electric system. Unless otherwise agreed upon in writing by the Parties, this Agreement does not provide for, nor otherwise require EC to receive, purchase, transmit, distribute, or store the electrical power produced by EP's Generating Facility.
- 5.2 If EP declares that its Generating Facility meets the requirements for "Cogeneration" as such term is used in Section 218.5 of the PUC (or any successor definition of "Cogeneration") ("Cogeneration Requirements"), EP warrants that, beginning on the date

of Initial Operation and continuing throughout the term of this Agreement, its Generating Facility shall continue to meet such Cogeneration Requirements. If EP becomes aware that its Generating Facility has ceased to meet the Cogeneration Requirements, EP shall promptly provide EC with Notice of such change pursuant to Section 9.1 below. If at any time during the term of this Agreement EC determines in its sole discretion that EP's Generating Facility may no longer meet the Cogeneration Requirements. EC may require EP to provide evidence that its Generating Facility continues to meet the Cogeneration Requirements within 15 business days of EC's request for such evidence. Additionally, EC may periodically (typically, once per year) inspect EP's Generating Facility and/or require documentation from EP to monitor the Generating Facility's compliance with Section 218.5 of the PUC. If EC determines in its sole judgment that EP either failed to provide evidence in a timely manner or that it provided insufficient evidence that its Generating Facility continues to meet the Cogeneration Requirements, then the Cogeneration status of the Generating Facility shall be deemed ineffective until such time as EP again demonstrates to EC's reasonable satisfaction that the Generating Facility meets the requirements for a Cogeneration facility (the "Status Change").

- 5.2.1 EC shall revise its records and the administration of this Agreement to reflect the Status Change and provide Notice to EP of the Status Change pursuant to Section 9.1 below. This Notice shall specify the effective date of the Status Change. This date shall be the first day of the calendar year for which EC determines in its sole discretion that the Generating Facility first ceased to meet the Cogeneration Requirements. EC's Notice shall include an invoice for Competition Transition Charges ("CTCs") that were not previously billed during the period between the effective date of the Status Change and the date of the Notice in reliance upon EP's representations that the Generating Facility complied with the Cogeneration Requirements and therefore was eligible for the exemption from CTCs available under Section 372 of the PUC.
- 5.2.2 Any amounts to be paid or refunded by EP, as may be invoiced by EC pursuant to the terms of this Section 5.9, shall be paid to EC within 30 days of EP's receipt of such invoice.

6. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES

- 6.1 EP and/or EC, as appropriate, shall provide Interconnection Facilities that adequately protect EC's Distribution System, personnel, and other persons from damage or injury, which may be caused by the operation of EP's Generating Facility.
- 6.2 EP shall be solely responsible for the costs, design, purchase, construction, operation, and maintenance of the Interconnection Facilities that EP owns.
- 6.3 If the provisions of EC's Rule 21, or any other tariff or rule approved by the CPUC, requires EC to own and operate a portion of the Interconnection Facilities, EP and EC shall promptly execute an *Interconnection Facilities Financing and Operation Agreement* that establishes and allocates responsibility for the design, installation, operation, maintenance, and ownership of the Interconnection Facilities. This *Interconnection Facilities Financing and Operation Agreement* shall be attached to and made a part of this Agreement as Appendix C.

7. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY

Each Party's liability to the other Party for any loss, cost, claim, injury, liability, or expense, including reasonable attorney's fees, relating to or arising from any act or omission in its performance of this agreement, shall be limited to the amount of direct damage actually incurred. In no event shall either Party be liable to the other Party for any indirect, special, consequential, or punitive damages of any kind whatsoever.

8. INSURANCE

- 8.1 In connection with EP's performance of its duties and obligations under this Agreement, EP shall maintain, during the term of the Agreement, general liability insurance with a combined single limit of not less than:
 - (a) Two million dollars (\$2,000,000) for each occurrence if the Gross Nameplate Rating of EP's Generating Facility is greater than one hundred (100) kW;
 - (b) One million dollars (\$1,000,000) for each occurrence if the Gross Nameplate Rating of EP's Generating Facility is greater than twenty (20) kW and less than or equal to one hundred (100) kW; and
 - (c) Five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) for each occurrence if the Gross Nameplate Rating of EP's Generating Facility is twenty (20) kW or less.
 - (d) Two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) for each occurrence if the Gross Nameplate Rating of EP's Generating Facility is ten (10) kW or less and EP's Generating Facility is connected to an account receiving residential service from EC.

Such general liability insurance shall include coverage for "Premises-Operations, Owners and Contractors Protective, Products/Completed Operations Hazard, Explosion, Collapse, Underground, Contractual Liability, and Broad Form Property Damage including Completed Operations."

- 8.2 The general liability insurance required in Section 8.1 shall, by endorsement to the policy or policies, (a) include EC as an additional insured; (b) contain a severability of interest clause or cross-liability clause; (c) provide that EC shall not by reason of its inclusion as an additional insured incur liability to the insurance carrier for payment of premium for such insurance; and (d) provide for thirty (30) calendar days' written notice to EC prior to cancellation, termination, alteration, or material change of such insurance.
- 8.3 If EP's Generating Facility is connected to an account receiving residential service from EC and the requirement of Section 8.2(a) prevents EP from obtaining the insurance required in Section 8.1, then upon EP's written Notice to EC in accordance with Section 9.1, the requirements of Section 8.2(a) shall be waived.
- 8.4 Evidence of the insurance required in Section 8.2 shall state that coverage provided is primary and is not in excess to or contributing with any insurance or self-insurance maintained by EC.
- 8.5 EP shall furnish the required insurance certificates and endorsements to EC prior to Initial Operation of the Generating Facility. Thereafter, EC shall have the right to periodically inspect or obtain a copy of the original policy or policies of insurance.
- 8.6 If EP is self-insured with an established record of self-insurance, EP may comply with the following in lieu of Sections 8.1 through 8.4:
 - (a) EP shall provide to EC, at least thirty (30) calendar days prior to the date of Initial Operation, evidence of an acceptable plan to self-insure to a level of coverage equivalent to that required under Section 8.1.
 - (b) If EP ceases to self-insure to the level required hereunder, or if EP are unable to provide continuing evidence of EP's ability to self-insure, EP agrees to immediately obtain the coverage required under Section 8.1.

8.7	All insurance certificates, statements of self insurance, endorsements, cancellations, terminations, alterations, and material changes of such insurance shall be issued and submitted to the following:

EC Name Attention:				

9. NOTICES

9.1 Any written notice, demand, or request required or authorized in connection with this Agreement ("Notice") shall be deemed properly given if delivered in person or sent by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the person specified below:

If to EC:	EC Name Attention:
	Phone: () FAX: ()
If to EP :	EP Name Address: City: Phone: () FAX: ()

- 9.2 A Party may change its address for Notices at any time by providing the other Party Notice of the change in accordance with Section 9.1.
- 9.3 The Parties may also designate operating representatives to conduct the daily communications, which may be necessary or convenient for the administration of this Agreement. Such designations, including names, addresses, and phone numbers may be communicated or revised by one Party's Notice to the other.

10. REVIEW OF RECORDS AND DATA

EC shall have the right to review and obtain copies of EP's operations and maintenance records, logs, or other information such as, unit availability, maintenance outages, circuit breaker operation requiring manual reset, relay targets and unusual events pertaining to EP's Generating Facility or its interconnection with EC's Distribution System.

11. ASSIGNMENT

EP shall not voluntarily assign its rights nor delegate its duties under this Agreement without EC's written consent. Any assignment or delegation EP makes without EC's written consent shall not be valid. EC shall not unreasonably withhold its consent to EP's assignment of this Agreement.

12. NON-WAIVER

None of the provisions of this Agreement shall be considered waived by a Party unless such waiver is given in writing. The failure of a Party to insist in any one or more instances upon strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement or to take advantage of any of its rights hereunder shall not be construed as a waiver of any such provisions or the relinquishment of any such rights for the future, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect.

13. GOVERNING LAW, JURISDICTION OF CPUC, INCLUSION OF EC's TARIFFS AND RULES

- 13.1 This Agreement shall be interpreted, governed, and construed under the laws of the State of California as if executed and to be performed wholly within the State of California without giving effect to choice of law provisions that might apply to the law of a different jurisdiction.
- This Agreement shall, at all times, be subject to such changes or modifications by the CPUC as it may from time to time direct in the exercise of its jurisdiction.
- 13.3 The interconnection and services provided under this Agreement shall at all times be subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the tariff schedules and rules applicable to the electric service provided by EC, which tariff schedules and rules are hereby incorporated into this Agreement by this reference.
- 13.4 Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement, EC shall have the right to unilaterally file with the CPUC, pursuant to the CPUC's rules and regulations, an application for change in rates, charges, classification, service, tariff or rule or any agreement relating thereto.

14. AMENDMENT AND MODIFICATION

This Agreement can only be amended or modified by a writing signed by both Parties.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement, including any incorporated tariff schedules and rules, contains the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties, their agents, and employees as to the subject matter of this Agreement. Each party also represents that in entering into this Agreement, it has not relied on any promise, inducement, representation, warranty, agreement or other statement not set forth in this Agreement or in the incorporated tariff schedules and rules.

16. SIGNATURES

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused two originals of this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives. This Agreement is effective as of the last date set forth below.

	EP NAME		EC NAME
Ву:	SAMPLE	Ву:	SAMPLE
Nam		Name:	
Title:		Title:	
Date:		Date:	

APPENDIX A

DESCRIPTION OF GENERATING FACILITY AND SINGLE-LINE DIAGRAM, (Provided by EP)

APPENDIX B

RULES: "2" and "21"
TARIFF SCHEDULE: "S"- Standby
TARIFF SCHEDULES:

(Note: EC's tariffs are included for reference only and shall at all times be subject to such changes or modifications by the CPUC as the CPUC may, from time to time, direct in the exercise of its jurisdiction.)

APPENDIX C (When Applicable)

INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES FINANCING AND OWNERSHIP AGREEMENT

(END OF ATTACHMENT B)

ATTACHMENT C INTERCONNECTION APPLICATION FORM

PART 1 To be filled out by all Applicants

Note: This Application must be filled out in accordance with Rule 21 of the CPUC Tarriff, "Interconnection Requirements", including Appendices A and B

Facility Information	(Where wil	I the (enerating l	Facility be install	led?)		
Contact Person		Phone		Fax	Email Ad	dress	
Company Name			EC Meter Number				
Street Address		City				State	Zip Code
Mailing Address (if different from above)		City				State	Zip Code
Contractor / Installer Inform	nation	(If dif	ferent from	above.)			
	144.077	(1		
Contact Person		Phone		Fax	Email Ad	dress	
							1
Common None							
Company Name							,
Street Address		City				State	Zip Code
Mailing Address (if different from above)		City				State	Zip Code
Applicant Information	(\/\/ho	will h	e contractu	ally obligated for	thic G	anara	ating Eacility?)
Applicant information	(۷۷110	WIII D	Contracto	I Obligated for	T	CHICIC	ating racinty:)
Contact Person		Phone		Fax	Email Ad	droce	
Contact Ferson		FILIDITE		1 ax	Liliali Au	ui 655	
Company Name							
Street Address		City				State	Zip Code
Mailing Address (if different from above)		City				State	Zip Code
Installation Questions							
	do vou into	nd to	inatall babi	nd the single me	tor on	orod	by this
How many Generators	do you mie	ina to	mstan benn	nd the single me	Lei Cov	erea	by triis
Application for this Ge	nerating Fa	acility?	?		Ī		
				Number	r of Generat	ors	
Notes							
Note:	ouah a sinala	intorfo	oce and centre	allad as ana ganarat	ina sot a	ount a	as ono
Multiple Generators connected three Generating Facility.	Jugii a siilgit	i ii il C i i a	oo and contro	nieu as une general	ii iy sel t	ouril o	as une
Examples: photovoltaic panels cor	nooted throu	iah a si	inalo invortor	or multiple miere tur	hinos oc	nnoot	and through a single
		•	•	•			
interface and controlled as one ger	J			. , , ,			
Generator, please provide the info	rmation for ea	ach typ	e and specify	how many of each	type yo	u plan	to use.
2. Is any piece of generat	ion eauipm	ent vo	ou are using	Certified? (Appe	endix E	B, Rul	le 21)
,, 3	1: 1:	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	,	Yes No

If you answered "yes" to question #2, please attach your generation equipment certificate for each certified generation package. If every piece of equipment you are using is certified, go to question 3. Note: If you want to check for certification, please contact the manufacturer of your Product.

	2.1.	Has any non-certified piece of generation equipment you are using received Electric Corporation Interim Certification (ECIC) approval?
		If you answered "yes" to question #2.1, please enter the approval letter date for each piece of
		equipment that has received interim EC approval.
		Approval date Equipment Type Approval date Equipment Type
		Approval date Equipment Type Approval date Equipment Type
		Note: Add additional sheets if necessary.
	2.2.	Is any piece of generation equipment you are using not Certified?
		If you answered "yes" to question #2.2, please complete Part 2 for each non-certified or non-
		ECIC approved piece of generation equipment.
		Note: You will need to fill out one Part 2 form for each non-certified
		Principles 1
	The fo	ollowing questions refer to Appendix A of Rule
3.1	Do y	ou plan to export to the Distribution System?
	•	u answered "yes" to question 3, please continue to question 3.1.
	If you	answered "no" to question 3, please continue to question 3.2.
	0.4	In DO contains a Occality in a Facility (OF) 0
	3.1	Is DG system a Qualifying Facility (QF) ?
		If you answered "no" to question 3.1, STOP! You cannot apply with this form.
		If you answered "yes" to question 3.1, please continue to question 3.1.1
		3.1.1 Is the DG system < 100kW? Yes No
		If you answered "yes" to question 3.1.1, please continue to question 3.1.1.1.
		If you answered "no" to question 3.1.1, STOP! You cannot apply with this form.
		,
		3.1.1.1 What is the estimated net annual export in kWh?
		Net Export kWh
	3.2	Which if the four options do you choose as your non-export condition?
	J.Z	Note: See Appendix A of Rule 21
		Option 1: Reverse power protection
		Yes
		Option 2: Underpower (always import)
		Option 2. Onderpower (always import)
		Option 3: Limit incidental export of power*
		Yes
		*More and at this parties are second more built to a full color and different

*If you select this option, you must meet all the following conditions:

a. Aggregate DG capacity of the generating facility must be <=25% of nominal ampere rating of the Customer's Service Equipment.

	 b. Total Aggregate DG capacity of the generating facility must be <=50% of the transformer rating. Note: Does not apply to customers taking primary service. c. DG must be certified as Non-Islanding.
	Option 4: Operate at <50% of minimum load
	What is the minimum load at your facility? Minimum Load kW
4.	Operational Information
	4.1 What mode of operation do you plan? As available Peak shaving Demand management Yes
	Prime power (base load) Combined Heat and Power Load Following Yes
	Other:Describe
	4.2 What is your total estimated annual kilowatt-hr_production? Annual kWh Production
5.	Does your DG start by using grid power (motoring)?
	If you answered "no" to question 5, please skip to question 6. If you answered "yes" to question 5, please answer the following questions.
	5.1 What is your inrush current?
	Note: If you don't know, call your DG manufacturer. Inrush 5.2 What is the continuous ampere rating of your Service Equipment? Ampere rating
6.	Is the nameplate rating of this DG system 11kVA or less? If the answer to question 6 is "yes", please skip to question 8. Note: The DG system include all units interconnected behind the point of interconnection with the utility.
7.	What is the short circuit contribution of the proposed DG system:
•	At the Generator terminals?
	Note: If the DG system is not Certified or if this information is not in the Certificate, you must answer Part 2, Question 6 At the point of common coupling?
	Note: adjustment for site/facility impedance to point of commmon coupling
	7.1 Is the proposed DG system connected to the Distribution System through a transformer
	shared by other Customers? Note: It may be necessary to contact the EC to obtain this information.
	If the answer to question 7.1 is "yes", please answer question 7.2. If the answer to question 7.1 is "no", please continue to question 8.

	7.2	What is	the interrup	ting rating c	of the other	Custo	mer's se	ervice pai	nel?		Amps	
8.	If the	e answer t	o question	ed Transforn 8. is "yes", p 8. is "no", pl	olease answ	-				Yes	No	
	8.1	If you ar	e adding a	transformer	, please pro	ovide:		ing KVA] s	Primar		
9.	Wha	at is your e	stimated da	ate of initial	operation?		Date of	Operation]			
10.	The	following a	attachment	s must acco	mpany Pari	t 1 of t	he appli	cation wl	hen y	ou sub	mit it.	
	•	le-line Dra : A sample	•	lrawing is incl	uded with thi	s applio	cation.	Include	ed			
	Site	plan show	ing the loca	ation and ar	rangement	of the	major e	quipmen	t (faci	lity lay	out).	
	Note.	: This plan	should includ	de any custon	ner-owned tra	ansforn	ners.	Include	ed			
11.	Plea	ise check t	this box if y	ou wish the	EC to bypa	ss Init	ial Revie	ew and to	o prov	/ide yo	u	
	with	a cost-est	imate for th	ne Interconn	ection Stud	y:			Pr	ovide Cos	st Estimate	
	n you lame	have com	pleted this	application,	you may m	ail, ex	press m	ail, emai	l it to:			
		s (for exp	ress mail)									
P.O.												
•		Zip Code										
Phor	ie:				E-Mail	I-						
Fax:	omple	otod annli	ications m	ust be acco		-	Annlie	ation Fo	a- A -	chack	in	
	-	eted appi nt of		able to EC	-	-						16
				nitial Revie		si acc	onipanj	an con	ibiere	տ ռբբ	meanor	13
-			•	contact EC to		ate and	l time voi	ur succes:	sful Fa	ax trans	mission (occurred.
	-			onsibility to e	•		-					

- 5 -

Part 2 To be filled out for all non-certified DG units or component types.

Note: Please fill out one Part 2 form for each non-certified Generator.

What is the generator/inverter model #?

What is the power factor range?

Is the range adjustable?

5.

multiple Generators connected through a single interface and controlled as one generating set count as one Generating Facility. Examples: photovoltaic panels connected through a single inverter or multiple micro-turbines connected through a single interface and controlled as one generating set count as one Generating Facility. 1. Is the unit a Pre-packaged prime mover/generator/inverter/controller system? If the answer is "no", please skip to question 2. If the answer is "yes", please answer the following questions: Who is the manufacturer? Manufacturer Name 1.2 What is the model number? 2. What is the Gross and Net Nameplate Rating in kVA? Note: Net kVA is net of auxiliary loads. 3. Prime Mover Information What is the prime mover technology? (Please check all appropriate boxes.) Steam Turbine Other (please describe) Who is the prime mover manufacturer? Manufacturer Name What is the prime mover model number? Model 4. Generator/Inverter Information What is the generator/inverter technology? (check all appropriate boxes) Three phase Who is the generator/inverter manufacturer? Manufacturer Name

Note: When paralleled with the distribution system, the unit is required to operate in power factor regulation mode (not in voltage regulation mode).

Model

6.	Shor	t Circuit Current Capability
	6.1	What is the short circuit current capability of the proposed DG system at the Generating
		Facility terminals? Amps Nominal Voltage
	6.2	If you intend to have only one generating set behind the single meter covered by this application, please go to question 6.3.
		If you intend to have more than one generating unit behind the meter: What is the maximum number of units operating simultaneously? Number of Units
	6.3	During a distribution system fault, what is your short circuit contribution, in amps? Amps
		Note: To answer this question, you may need to gather the following from the Generator manufacturer:
		> Fault duration curve and fault current interrupt time of the interrupting device Or:
		> (Synchronous only) Fault current interrupt time of the interrupting device;
		Direct axis synchronous reactance (Xd) – contact Generating Facility mfr
		Direct axis transient reactance (X'd) Direct axis subtransient reactance (X"d)
		Or:
		> (Synchronous only) Inertia constant of prime mover or Generator, whichever is greater.
		Direct axis synchronous reactance (Xd) – contact Generating Facility mfr
		Direct axis transient reactance (X'd)
		Direct axis subtransient reactance (X"d)
7.	The	following attachments must accompany Part 2 of the application when you submit it:
	7.1	Complete and accurate protection diagrams including single-line meter relay and logic diagrams.
	7.2	A description of the proposed protection schemes and description of operations.
	7.3	Maintenance plans for the interconnection protective devices and interconnection interrupting devices.
	7.4	All available results from testing and certification that may assist in obtaining interim approval

Part 3 For Electric Corporation's Use Only

- 1. Is this DG on a network system?
- 2. When installed, will total DG capacity exceed 15% of peak load of the line section to which this DG system is connected?
- 3. What is the distribution line configuration?
- 4. What is the Interconnection Voltage?
- 5 EC assigns an Application ID number in the following format: MMYY-0000-AAA MonthYear-Four digit number-EC Acronym
- 6 EC assigns Generating Facility IDs to each Generating Facility Set.

(END OF ATTACHMENT C)